Large Igneous Provinces of the Amazonian Craton and their Metallogenic Potential in Proterozoic Times

Article *in* Geological Society London Special Publications · July 2021

DOI: 10.1144/SP518-2021-7

Accepted Manuscript

Geological Society, London, Special Publications

Large Igneous Provinces of the Amazonian Craton and their Metallogenic Potential in Proterozoic Times

N. J. Reis, W. Teixeira, M. S. D'Agrella-Filho, J. S. Bettencourt, R. E. Ernst & L. E. Goulart

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1144/SP518-2021-7

To access the most recent version of this article, please click the DOI URL in the line above. When citing this article please include the above DOI.

Received 13 January 2021
Revised 5 July 2021
Accepted 10 July 2021

Large Igneous Provinces of the Amazonian Craton and their Metallogenic Potential in Proterozoic Times

*N.J. Reis[1], W. Teixeira[2], M.S. D'Agrella-Filho[3], J.S. Bettencourt[4], R.E. Ernst[5,6], L.E. Goulart[7]
[1] Geological Survey of Brazil/Manaus, Brazil
nelson.reis@cprm.gov.br
[2]Institute of Geosciences, University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil
wteixeir@usp.br
[3]Institute of Astronomy, Geophysic and Atmospheric Science, University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil
manoel.dagrella@iag.usp.br
[4]Institute of Geosciences, University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil
jsbetten@usp.br
[5]Department of Earth Sciences, Carleton University, Ottawa, Canada
[6]Faculty of Geology and Geography, Tomsk State University, Tomsk, Russia
richard.ernst@ernstgeosciences.com
[7]Geological Survey of Brazil/Belo Horizonte, Brazil
luis.goulart@cprm.gov.br
*corresponding author (nelson.reis@cprm.gov.br)

ABSTRACT

This paper overviews the Proterozoic Large Igneous Provinces of the Amazonian Craton, characterized by large volumes of extrusive and intrusive magmatic rocks. We reassess the geologic, geochronologic and geochemical information to stablish three intracontinental felsic volcanic-plutonic igneous belts (i.e., SLIPs), namely: Orocaima (1.98-1.96 Ga), Uatumã (1.88-1.87 Ga) and Alta Floresta (1.80-1.79 Ga). The Avanavero LIP (1.79–1.78 Ga), as well as the Rincón del Tigre-Huanchaca LIP (1.11 Ga) are also revisited. The relationships of these events with intraplate settings through time and space are apparent. We examine the main characteristics of each magmatic event in light of the U-Pb zircon and baddeleyite ages and coupled isotopic-geochemical constraints, the geodynamic significance, and metallogenetic potential. The Uatumã and Alta Floresta SLIPs host the most important mineral resources within the Amazonian Craton. Global barcode matches of the Proterozoic SLIPS/LIP events of Amazonia are also addressed, as well as their possible links with geologic time-scale periods: the Orosirian, Statherian and Stenian boundaries. We also evaluate the available paleomagnetic data to address issues related to the barcode match of such SLIP/LIP events in the context of supercontinent cycles.

Keywords: Proterozoic, Amazonian Craton, Large Igneous Provinces, Mineral Resources, Paleomagnetic reconstructions

1. INTRODUCTION

Large Igneous Provinces (LIPs) and Silicic LIPs (SLIPs) have become one of the most important themes in the evolution of the Earth, on issues that include the nature of plumbing systems, mantle dynamics and supercontinent cycles, metallogeny and environmental changes through time, (e.g., Bryan and Ernst, 2008; Ernst 2014; Ernst and Jowitt, 2013; Ernst and Youbi 2017). LIPs are a powerful tool toward paleogeographic reconstructions by comparison of the LIP "barcode" between different blocks to identify neighboring blocks and by matching the foci of radiating dyke swarms between blocks (Bleeker and Ernst, 2006; Ernst and Bleeker, 2010; Peng et al. 2011). In addition, LIP events due to their short duration or pulsed character in an intracontinental setting are prominent time-markers for breakup attempts of the Earth's lithosphere in addition to coeval intraplate episodes such as anorogenic magmatism and tectonic basins. These events occur at a variable rate that averages approximately one event every 20 to 30 myr (Ernst 2014). LIP type components are extensive flood basalts, mafic dyke swarms and sills, silicic volcanic-plutonic associations, as well as mafic-ultramafic layered intrusions. Some SLIPs display linear distribution as a belt that suggests a primary association with hydrothermal fluid dynamics from earlier subduction zones inducing pervasive melt of the lithospheric mantle (e.g., Bryan and Ferrari 2013; Ernst 2014).

From an economic perspective, LIP and SLIP pulses have been usually associated with metallogenetic systems, such as native Cu, hydrothermal volcanogenic massive sulfide (VMS) and iron-oxide-cooper-gold (IOCG) deposits, orthomagmatic Ni-Cu-PGE sulfides, Sn-W, Nb-Ta-REE and diamond-gold among others (Ernst and Jowitt 2013, 2017). Orogenic gold deposits may also have an indirect link with LIPs and a marked breakup (or attempted breakup) events that may correlate in time with compression and transpression episodes on distal convergent plate margins (e.g., Ernst and Jowitt, 2013). However, intraplate settings have also been envisaged, for instance in the southwestern portion of the Amazonian Craton (e.g., Rizzotto *et al*. 2019; Oliveira and Almeida 2019). Particularly, in humid tropical climatic zones, the surface record of ore deposits are uncompleted or absent, sometimes leached and reprecipitated. These processes eventually result in thick weathering profiles (Butt and Ziggers 1989) with enriched Al, Ni-Co, Mn, Fe and Cr within laterites, developed from primary mineralized mafic-ultramafic rocks (e.g., Mud 2010, 2012), as well as Nb-Ta-REE phosphate, iron ore, Cu, Zr, Th, U, fluorine/fluorite, and vermiculite resources from carbonatite laterites (Ernst and Jowitt 2013).

When it comes to the Amazonian Craton, several Proterozoic LIP/SLIP scale events have been recognized in the last decade by means of precise U-Pb dating and coupled isotopic and geochemical characterization. The growing knowledge of LIP events has allowed insights on their nature and tectonic significance related with the polycyclic evolution, as well as for the paleogeographic constraints on the positioning of Amazonia in the Columbia and Rodinia supercontinents ((Klein et al. 2012; Reis et al. 2013a; D'Agrella-Filho et al. 2016a; Teixeira et al. 2015, 2019; Rizzotto et al. 2019; Antonio et al. 2021). However, the linkage between LIPs and/or SLIPs and the processes and potential for concentrating metal deposits throughout the Amazonian Craton are still poorly explored.

This review reassesses the role of Paleo and Mesoproterozoic LIP/SLIP events of the Amazonian Craton (Guiana and Brazil Central shields) and the potential links with metallogeny in space and time. We emphasize the importance of five events of LIP scale, although additional potentially important intraplate igneous activities are apparent through time and space (e.g., Klein *et al*. 2012; Reis *et al*. 2013a; Teixeira *et al*. 2015, 2019; CPRM 2010). For this purpose, we distinguish two intraplate LIP events and three SLIPs that are characterized physically as silicic igneous belts (silicic LIPs) composed of voluminous volcanic-plutonic associations with post-orogenic to anorogenic characteristics. Important sedimentary basins and mafic magmatism follow the associations. The SLIP events in the Amazonian Craton, from the older to younger, are: Orocaima (1.98-1.96 Ga), Uatumã (1.88–1.87 Ga) and Alta Floresta (1.80-1.79 Ga). The Alta Floresta SLIP is coeval with the

Avanavero LIP (1.79-1.78 Ga) that occurs throughout those oldest igneous provinces mostly in the Guiana Shield. The Rincón del Tigre-Huanchaca (1.11 Ga) LIP that extends in the southeastern portion of the Amazonian Craton and the Bolivian Precambrian Shield represents the youngest Proterozoic voluminous mafic to mafic-ultramafic magmatism.

We also provide paleogeographic implications for Proterozoic Amazonia in the context of supercontinent cycles.

2. SILICIC AND MAFIC INTRAPLATE EVENTS (INCLUDING SLIPS AND LIPS) AND ASSOCIATED INTRACRATONIC BASINS

2.1 Overview

The country rocks of the Amazonian Craton crop out over two regions overlain by the Solimões and Amazonas basins: the Guiana Shield in the north and Central Brazil Shield in the south (Figure 1). The tectonic evolution of the Amazonian Craton remains under discussion (Tassinari and Macambira 2004; Santos et al. 2006; Cordani and Teixeira 2007; Fraga et al., 2008). When it comes to the SLIPs, three large volcanic-plutonic belts are apparent, in general associated with voluminous sedimentary rocks (Fraga et al. 2017b, 2020; Reis et al. 2013a, 2021). In this work, we follow the concept of Fraga et al. (2008) that distinguish at least two large igneous belts (i.e., SLIPs adjacent to the ca. 2.0 Ga Cauarane-Coeroeni supracrustal belt and the granite-greenstone terrane at 2.21-2.07 Ga), the main tectonic features recognized in the Guiana Shield.

This section outlines the distribution of LIP/SLIP scale magmatism and their respective nodes throughout the Amazonian Craton. The two oldest SLIPs – Orocaima (1.98-1.96 Ga) and Uatumã (1.88-1.87 Ga) form roughly sinuous igneous belts and are related to an intracontinental setting. Both SLIPs extend discontinuously for more than 1000 kilometers, have a width of around 200 km and thus containing a huge volume of magmatic material within their main time interval of 20-10 Ma. They show rocks normally with SiO_2> 65% and do not includes expanded suites, which are more common in orogenic environments, in addition to minor mafic enclaves, notably of quartz diorite. Large sedimentary basins underlie these SLIPs and, exemplified by isolated tablelands suggesting some previous extension across the continental crust. They were interpreted as rifted basins formed in an intraplate environment (Reis et al. 2017a) and accommodate large mafic sills and dykes such as the 1.79-1.78 Ga Avanavero LIP (Figure 1).

The Avanavero LIP matches the Alta Floresta SLIP (1.80-1.79 Ga) in the Central Brazil Shield which, in turn, displays a peculiar sinuous geometry that contrasts with the other SLIPs. This one is distributed as a narrow string of volcanic-plutonic rocks, extending from the southern flank of the Alto Tapajós Horst, to the southeast where it borders a nucleus of older rocks ca. 2.03-1.87 Ga old (Figure 1), and exhibiting a larger exposure toward the northeast, overlain by Phanerozoic cover rocks. The structural framework of the youngest LIP – Rincón del Tigre-Huanchaca (1.11 Ga) - roughly follows the NW-SE trend in the southwestern Amazonian Craton.

Below we summarize the geologic-tectonic aspects of those LIP/SLIP episodes in a chronological order and also discuss their related mineral potential. We note a linkage between mineral deposits and multi-age intracratonic volcanics, granitoids and mafic-ultramafics rocks through time and space.

2.2 The Orocaima SLIP (1.98-1.96 Ga)

2.2.1 Distribution

The Orocaima SLIP – OSL (Reis *et al*., 2014) occurs in the Guiana Shield as a continuous volcanic-plutonic domain throughout the Venezuela, Brazil (Roraima and Pará States), Guyana and Suriname, where the magmatic units are known by different names.

According to Fraga et al. (2017b) and based on previous petrographic and chemical studies (Fraga et al. 1997; CPRM 2010; Reis and Ramos 2017), the OSL consists mainly of 1.98-1.96 Ga high-K calc-alkaline, A-type and shoshonitic magmatic rocks, interpreted in this paper as formed in an intracontinental setting. However, the tectonic significance of the belt remains under debate as the previous interpretations of Santos (2003) and Fraga et al. (1997) suggested in collisional and post-collisional settings, respectively.

The OSL contrasts in age with the surrounding Rhyacian country rocks at the easternmost portion of Suriname and the northmost portion of Guyana where a granite-greenstone terrane dominates (Figure 2). The same relationship can be seen in the vicinity of the greenstone belts of Venezuela.

The Orosirian framework of the Guiana Shield shows a complex relation between the Cauarane-Coeroeni supracrustal Belt, the Rio Urubu Igneous Belt, and the Orocaima SLIP. According to Fraga *et al*. (2017a), the Cauarane-Coeroeni Belt – CCB represents multiphase supracrustal rocks outcropping from northwest Roraima to southwest Suriname. On both sides of the belt, to the north and south, the 1.98-1.96 Ga Orocaima SLIP and the 1.94-1.92 Ga Rio Urubu Belt emerge, respectively (Figure 1). U-Pb SHRIMP detrital zircons for paragneisses of the CCB show Archean, Siderian and Rhyacian sources, as well as major provenance from Rhyacian to Orosirian sources. The belt can be interpreted as a back-arc type basin associated with the development of the 2.04-2.03 Ga magmatic arc, with suturing and high-grade metamorphism around 2.02 Ga (Fraga *et al*. 2017a). The Rio Urubu Belt (Fraga *et al*. 2017a) includes foliated granitoids, charnockites and gneisses formed in a transpressional post-collisional setting. It hosts two intraplate AMG (Anorthosite-Mangerite-Rapakivi Granite) complexes dated at 1.53-1.52 Ga (Heinonen et al. 2012) and 1.43-1.42 Ga (Lira and Lopes 2020).

Terrigenous formations with pyroclastic to volcaniclastic contributions, metamorphosed to greenschist facies, have been distinguished from the dominantly volcanic rocks and the Roraima sedimentary cover as described by Briceño et al. (1989) in the southwest Venezuela and by Santos et al. (2003a) in the westernmost portion of Roraima State. Both formations have been considered the best target for the gold investigations everywhere.

The Pakaraima Block represented by the Roraima Supergroup (Figure 1) is most important Orosirian sedimentary basin, covering 73,000 km^2 in parts of Venezuela, Brazil, and Guyana (Reis and Yánez 2001; Reis et al. 2017a). The U-Pb age of tuffs in the middle section at 1.87 Ga define the minimum age of deposition whereas the mafic sills of the Avanavero Dolerite (LIP) intrudes the strata levels at 1.79-1.78 Ga (Santos et al. 2003b; Reis et al. 2013a). Both magmatisms register the tectonic stability of the Amazonian Craton at that period of time. Some sedimentary outliers, also cut by Avanavero sills, show significant levels of dark shales (Reis and

Carvalho 1996) and reveal good targets to time-scale and paleoenvironmental studies.

Towards the south portion of the Amazonian Craton, the Central Brazil Shield encompasses to the eastern, a large granite-greenstone terrane as well as supracrustal and granitoid rocks at 3.05-2.30 Ga (Carajás), sometimes reworked by Transamazonian Orogeny at 2.26-2.05 Ga (Vasquez et al. 2019). To the west of these oldest rocks, Orosirian volcanic-plutonic rocks ca. 1.98 Ga have been described by Lamarão et al. (2005, 2008) and can be chronologically related to the OSL (Figure 1). They crop out amidst dominantly younger sedimentary, plutonic and volcanic rocks ca. 1.88-1.78 Ga. In this area, the basement rocks, gneisses, metavolcanic-sedimentary successions and granitoids are genetically related to subduction settings with ages of ca. 2.03-2.01 Ga (Santos et al. 2000, 2004; Vasquez et al. 2008).

2.2.2 Mineral Resources

The Amazonian Craton is recognized for hosting world-class deposits. However, the few direct dates on the ores and coupled isotopic constraints of the metallogenic systems limit the development of regional models, especially for the subsequent period of the Transamazonian Orogeny (e.g., Tedeschi et al. 2020). We discuss below the mineral resources potentially linked to the period of 1.98-1.96 Ga referred as the OSL. See summary in Table 1.

In some cases, the mineralizing processes are directly associated with the development of the SLIP. However, in most cases, the mineralization is approximately coeval with, but indirectly linked with the SLIP.

The OSL has low metallogenic potential based on the published information (see Table 1 for details). The Aricheng deposit in Guyana (Alexandre 2010; Renaud 2014) represents a uranium occurrence hosted in the high-K calc-alkaline batholith ca. 2.10-2.07 Ga (Alexandre 2010). The mineralization is of the albitite-hosted uranium type, with zirconium ore (Cinelu and Cuney 2006) and occurs in an associated breccia fault controlled by E-W shear zones crossing the batholith. Typical IOCG mineral alteration assemblages were identified in drill cores of the batholith domains (Renaud 2014). U-Pb dating of hydrothermal zircons from the mineralized zone yielded an intercept age of 1.99 Ga, interpreted as the minimum age of mineralization, allowing a tentative age match with the OSL. The Eagle Mountain deposit, some 230 km southeast of Georgetown (Guyana), is of the porphyry gold type (Voicu *et al*. 2001) and the mineralization is related to granitoids at 1.98 Ga (Nadeau *et al*. 2013), in turn, hosted by metavolcanic rocks of the Barama-Mazaruni greenstone belt (Kroonenberg and de Roever 2010). The ore is widespread in the saprolitic rock or as stockworks, where it forms a bimetallic association with Mo and Cu.

In Venezuela, Mo-rich granitoids occurs in the contact with volcanic rocks (Sidder and Mendoza 1991). Similarly, in the northeastern portion of the Roraima State, Brazil, molybdenite-bearing granite was described in spatial association with volcanic-plutonic rocks attributed to the OSL. The granite has been affected by E-W shear zones related to the K'Mudku tectono-thermal event about 1.20 Ga (CPRM 1999).

Towards the Central Brazil Shield, important gold deposits are associated with the Tapajós Mineral Province – TMP. They give a wide range of age, from 2.03 to 1.80 Ga, attributed to the rocks of the province (Lamarão et al. 2002; Borgo et al.

2017; Guimarães and Klein 2020 and references therein). Tentatively, some of these deposits may be associated with the OSL, as detailed below:

Two of the largest mineral deposits are recognized in the TMP: Coringa and Tocantinzinho. The first one is an Au-Ag (Cu-Pb-Zn) polymetallic deposit hosted in a volcanic-plutonic association dated at 1.99-1.96 Ga old (Guimarães and Klein 2020 and references therein). The mineralization occurs in veins or in shear zones where gold is associated with quartz, silver, sphalerite, pyrite, and subordinately, galena, chalcopyrite, and hematite. Gold also occurs disseminated in alteration zones of host rock as well as in pyrite, sphalerite, and silver telluride inclusions. The deposit is interpreted as a low-sulfidation epithermal type (Tokashiki et al. 2013; Corrêa-Lima and Klein 2020 and references therein).

The Tocantinzinho gold deposit is associated with high-K calc-alkaline plutonic rocks dated at 1.98-1.97 Ga, constrained by NW-SE strike-slip faults and with an elongated geometry (Borgo et al. 2017). Subvolcanic types, believed to be formed in an intraplate setting are coeval or slightly younger than the granitoid rocks and may be linked to feeder dykes in depth (Santiago et al. 2013; Biondi 2020 and references therein). The mineralization occurs associated to mild to moderate hydrothermalized rock varieties (e.g., Santiago et al. 2013 and references therein). Gold is associated with sericitization zones also containing pyrite, chalcopyrite, sphalerite, and galena in veinlets, breccias or disseminated in pyrite, feldspar, and quartz. In the breccias, high gold concentrations are associated with chlorite ± carbonate ± quartz ± rutile ± pyrite ± galena. The deposit has varied characteristics with similarities to intrusion-related gold deposits, mesozonal intrusion-hosted gold deposits as well as Au-rich porphyry deposits hosted in oxidized granites (Biondi et al. 2018 and references therein) or (magmatic-hydrothermal) oxidized calc-alkaline granite-related gold deposits (Lopes and Moura 2019 and references therein).

2.2.3 Matches with 1.98-1.96 Ga magmatism on other crustal blocks

The 1.98-1.96 Ga mafic and silicic magmatism of Amazonia matches in age the Pechenga-Onega LIP event of the Kola and Karelia cratons in the Baltic Shield (Lubnina *et al*. 2016; Davey 2019). Additional age matches including the 1.97 Ga Khajuraho (Jhansi) dolerite dyke swarm of the Bundelkhand craton (Samal *et al*. 2019), and the 1.97 Ga dolerite Xiwangshan swarm of the North China craton (Peng 2015).

2.3 The Uatumã SLIP (1.88-1.87 Ga)

2.3.1 Distribution

Another very large event covering more than 1,600,000 km^2 is the 1.88-1.87 Ga Orosirian felsic volcanic-plutonic rocks, which occur in both shields of the Amazonian Craton. This event was first described as a being related to a large igneous province by Fernandes et al. (2011), later designated to the Uatumã SLIP – USL by Klein et al. (2012) - Figure 1.

In the Guiana Shield, the USL occurs in the south portion of Roraima State (Almeida 2006; Reis et al. 2021), in the northeast of Amazonas State (Valério et al. 2009; Ferron et al. 2010; Klein et al. 2012), in the northwest of Pará State (Barreto et al. 2013; Leal et al. 2018) and south of Guyana (Gibbs and Barron 1983).

The northwestern portion of the Central Brazil Shield is the largest area of the USL, but recently a U-Pb investigation was done in rocks of the southmost sector of the shield, as an inlier into the Parecis Basin, providing results of ca. 1.88 Ga that match with those of the Uatumã SLIP (Lima *et al*. 2021) – Figure 1. Some anorogenic granites crosscut Archean domains (Carajás) and also likely belong to the SLIP, as well as the adjoining 1.88 Ga mafic dyke swarm (Teixeira et al. 2019; Antonio et al. 2021).

As the Orocaima SLIP, the USL is exceptionally well-preserved, with no influence of the Transamazonian Orogeny or its late stages. Where it outcrops, the USL encompass high-K calc-alkaline and A-type volcanics and granites (Fernandes et al. 2008; Fraga et al. 2017c; Juliani and Fernandes 2010). The timing between both rock types suggests that the high-K calc-alkaline magmatism preceded the alkaline magmatism. Nevertheless, recurrences of magmatic pulses and coexistence of these magmas, have been suggested by the geochemistry of the layers (acid to intermediate) and geochronological data (Vasquez and Dreher 2011). In addition, a charnockitic magmatism (1.87 Ga) has been described in the southeast of the Roraima State (Almeida et al. 2008), matching in age the USL. From a tectonic point of view, this SLIP magmatism has been tectonically assigned either to an extensional environment related to underplating mechanisms or post-dating a soft collision process related to the Orosirian orogenic evolution that eventuallly formed the Tapajós crust (Santos *et al*. 2000, 2001, 2004; Fernandes *et al*. 2011; Antonio *et al*. 2017; Teixeira *et al*. 2019; Vasquez et al. 2019; Guimarães and Klein 2020).

2.3.2 Mineral Resources

In the Central Brazil Shield, the Tapajós Mineral Province - TMP, where USL rocks are widespread, hosts a large gold panning production area where the country rocks are as old as 2.03-2.01 Ga (Santos et al. 2000, 2004; Vasquez et al. 2008) - Figure 3. There is unofficial historical production greater than 600 tons of gold extracted from alluvial sources from more than 2200 “garimpos” sites since the eighties of the past century (Borgo et al. 2017; Correa-Lima and Klein 2020). In this millennium, dozens of previous studies (Santos et al. 2004; Santiago et al. 2013; Villas et al. 2013; Assunção and Klein 2014; Juliani et al. 2014; Klein et al. 2016 and references therein) were mainly focused on the hydrothermal alteration and mineralization, as well as about the geological history of the province in terms of geotectonic evolution and structural controls.

Detailed studies of some of the main deposits, with a focus on proposing genetic models, have consisted of characterization of host rocks, hydrothermal and metasomatic processes and on the nature and evolution of ore fluids (Juliani et al. 2005, 2013; Borges et al. 2009; Assunção and Klein 2014; Tokashiki et al. 2013; Silva Jr. and Klein 2016; Borgo et al. 2017; Queiroz and Klein 2018; Biondi et al. 2018; Lopes and Moura 2019; Oliveira et al. 2019; Correa-Lima and Klein 2020; Guimarães and Klein 2020; Biondi 2020 and references therein). Primary gold from the TMP generally occurs in quartz veins hosted in felsic volcanic, and volcaniclastic rocks and also in granitoids. Hydrothermal breccias have also been recognized and often follow the mineralized veins (Dreher et al. 1999). Generally, the individual deposits of the TMP have been described as orogenic, intrusion-related/magmatic-hydrothermal, porphyry, epithermal and paleo-placer (Guimarães et al. 2021).

Epithermal gold mineralization and high to low-sulfidation occurrences are mostly associated with volcanic and volcaniclastic rocks, which have been strongly altered by hydrothermal processes and associated with caldera complexes (Juliani *et al*. 2005). The high-sulfidation gold mineralization can be attributed to hydrothermal breccia pipes and related alteration processes forming very fine grains of native Au, Ag and Cu. These deposits are related to the final stages of the post-collapse phase of the volcanic calderas (e.g., Au-Cu-Ag and Cu-Zn-Mo, see Table 2 to Botica Velha deposit). Ar-Ar alunite dating of the high-sulfidation epithermal mineralization show ages of ca. 1.87 Ga and volcanic quiescence at 1.84 Ga (Juliani *et al*. 2005).

The low-sulfidation gold mineralization is located at the border of a caldera complex and shows an overprint of porphyry style Cu-Mo-(Au) mineralization associated with several porphyry dykes. Porphyry-type gold mineralization mainly consists of Au-(Cu) (see Table 2 for Palito and Chapéu do Sol deposits, Echeverri-Misas 2010, 2015; Aguja-Bocanegra 2013) formed during syn- post-collapse phase of the volcanic caldera and emplacement of high-K calc-alkaline granites at 1.88 Ga.

Specialized, 1.88 Ga A-type granites host Sn, W, Bi, Nb, Ta, Be and Li (Lamarão *et al*., 2002; Pinho *et al*. 2006). In contrast, the calk-alkaline, I-type granites with U-Pb-TIMS zircon ages of ca. 1.88-1.87 Ga (Santos *et al*., 2000; Silva Jr. *et al*. 2015 and references therein) show more significant contribution to the concentration of gold and base metals, both correlated to magmatic-hydrothermal fluids in plutonic rocks. Such mineral resources can be grouped into two types: 1) deep porphyry, intrusion-related and orogenic deposits and, 2) volcanic-plutonic, porphyry-epithermal systems. Batalha is a deep porphyry-style gold deposit, whereas the Cuiu-Cuiú, Crepori, Ouro Roxo, São José and Porto Rico are intrusion-related deposits (Assunção and Klein 2014; Silva Jr. *et al*. 2015; Silva Jr. and Klein 2016; Oliveira *et al*. 2019, see Table 2 for details).

The Cuiu-Cuiú gold district includes several occurrences and deposits associated with shear zones developed in granitoids and the gold mineralization can be related to 1.88 Ga rocks (see Table 2 for details). Gold occurs in free form or as inclusions in the paragenesis of sulfides in quartz veins, where an intense sericitization occurs in some deposits (see Table 2 to Moreira Gomes, Central, Pau da Merenda deposits, among others). Pb-Pb isochron ages at 1.85 Ga provide an estimate of the mineralization timing of the deposits (Veloso and Santos 2013; Silva Jr. *et al*. 2015).

The Carajás Mineral Province (Figure 4), in the southeastern Amazonian Craton, is one of the largest metalliferous provinces in the world, with giant iron ore deposits in addition to Cu-Au, Cu-Zn, Mn, Ni, REE and PGE. A recent tectonic evolution model for the province (e.g., Tavares *et al*. 2018) discusses the presence of superimposed orogenic and extensional cycles from the Archean to the Neoproterozoic-Cambrian times. The province documents three major Archean-Paleoproterozoic orogenic events with the latest followed by late to post-orogenic sedimentation, swarms of felsic and mafic dykes and 1.88 Ga anorogenic alkaline to subalkaline A-type magmatism (Giovanardi *et al*. 2019; Trunfull *et al*. 2020; Antonio *et al*. 2021).

It is widely accepted that the USL granitic plutons (e.g., Central Carajás, Young Salobo, Cigano, Pojuca, and Breves plutons, among others) were probably the main cause of the thermal disturbance that affected the older rocks forming a complex hydrothermal system in pre-existing regional shear zones (e.g., Bettencourt *et al*. 2016 and references therein). This hydrothermal system was responsible for the genesis of IOCG and Cu-rich polymetallic deposits. A younger epigenetic phase related to Cu-Zn and Cu-Co deposits can be related to the remobilization of pre-existing mineralizations during the 1.88 Ga magmatism. The percolation of fluids during the younger emplacement is also believed to be caused by the latter phase of hydrothermal alteration linked to the IOCG-type deposits (see Table 2 for all details), where multiphase mineralization pulses with ages of ca. 2.71-2.57 Ga and 2.0-1.88 Ga are recognized.

A younger phase of A-type magmatism at 1.87 Ga hosts Sn, W and Nb-Ta mineralization (Trunfull *et al*. 2020). Post-magmatic alteration and Sn-W mineralization are reported in granitic plutons and greisenized zones and to a lesser extent, are associated with Nb and Ta.

In the Guiana Shield, there are few records of mineral occurrences related to the 1.88-1.87 Ga USL event. According to Teixeira *et al*. (2019), several mafic bodies are contemporaneous with the Uatumã magmatism based on tight age matches and a similar intraplate setting. In Roraima State, near the border with Guyana, an occurrence of anorthosite with vanadiferous titanomagnetite (VTM) was reported by Goulart *et al*. (2019); this mineralization occurs in a gabbro-anorthositic layered intrusion. Other mafic-ultramafic bodies in the Roraima State have been grouped with the Uraricaá Suite, for which a U-Pb age of 1.88 Ga is reported (see Table 2).

2.3.3 Matches with 1.88-1.87 Ga magmatism on other crustal blocks

In a broader perspective this 1.88-1.87 Ga volcanic-plutonic episode matches the early Svecoffenian magmatism in Baltica (Nironen *et al*. 2000), the circum-Superior LIP in the Superior Craton (Jowitt and Ernst 2013), and also the intraplate magmatism in the Slave, southern Siberian, Indian cratons; and Kalahari cratons (Ernst *et al*. 2013; Ernst 2014, Ernst et al. 2021 and references therein).

2.4 The Alta Floresta SLIP (1.80-1.79 Ga)

2.4.1 Distribution

The term “Alta Floresta SLIP” – AFSL is proposed in this study to comprise calc-alkaline volcanic and granitic rocks emplaced over a short-lived period of 10 million years, between 1.80 and 1.79 Ga that covered parts of Mato Grosso and Amazonas State, Central Brazil Shield (Figure 1). This SLIP surrounds the southern and western flanks of the Alto Tapajós Horst along the boundary between Amazonas, Pará and Mato Grosso State (Figure 5). Some alkaline granites with the above age, may be also attributed to the AFSL, but there is still no strong evidence for associated alkaline volcanic rocks. The tectonic setting of the volcano-plutonism remains debated, as to whether a post-collisional (Cordani and Teixeira 2007; Assis 2015; Scandolara *et al*. 2017) or an intracontinental settings (Rizzotto *et al*. 2019).

The AFSL includes volcanic and subvolcanic rocks associated with the pyroclastic and sometimes epiclastic rocks that were previously grouped into the Colíder Group (Rizzotto *et al*. 2004). In the western sector of the horst, in Amazonas State, they show dominant calc-alkaline affinity and are medium to high-K. Noteworthy, the Alto Tapajós Horst that bounds the AFSL, contains a lower volcanic-sedimentary succession ca. 1.76-1.74 Ga cut by the Mata-Matá Gabbro at 1.57 Ga

(Betiollo et al. 2009) and an upper, significantly younger sedimentary one (< 1.03 Ga) [Reis et al. (2013b, 2017b)]. They are related to the development of a large intracratonic rift system, following the multiple stages of magmatism.

The granitoid rocks of the AFSL are grouped into the Paranaíta Suite (Ribeiro and Duarte 2010), represented by oxidized, high-potassium calc-alkaline I-types, which are meta to peraluminous and strongly magnetic. An important feature in the field is the presence of a large concentration of volcanic enclaves, as well as interdigitated and lobed contacts between the plutonic and volcanic rocks, suggesting the contemporaneous nature of these lithologies. Further south, the AFSL surrounds calc-alkaline suite dated in the 1.78-1.76 Ga interval (Figure 5) and which were previously considered to be part of the province (Rizzotto et al. 2019). Importantly, these rocks are not considered here to be part of the AFSL, whose minimum age does not exceed 1.79 Ga. These authors coined the name "Western Amazonia Igneous Belt" for those plutonic suites that occur in the area of the AFSL, where the more evolved granitic intrusions have A-type characteristics and intraplate character. According to our conception, ages of about 1.77-1.75 Ga documented for the volcanic rocks in the same area can be attributed to the younger volcano-sedimentary succession at the base of the Alto Tapajós Horst. Our interpretation also diverges from the sedimentary stratigraphic propositions by Simões *et al*. (2020) for the Alta Floresta Province, given that they did not consider the most recent regional mapping results available for the whole area (Almeida 2016; Reis *et al*. 2017b).

2.4.2 Mineral Resources

The Alta Floresta Mineral Province (Figure 6) to which the Alta Floresta SLIP are genetically and spatially related, hosts numerous occurrences of gold and gold + base metals closely associated with the volcanic and volcanoclastic rocks, and also granitoids (Alves *et al*. 2019; Rios *et al*. 2019 and references therein). For instance, the calc-alkaline rocks of the Paranaíta Suite are associated with the main gold deposits of the Alta Floresta Mineral Province. Some deposits are better known, with well-defined ages and with proposed model to the ore concentration (for details and references see Table 3 and Figure 6). Geochronological data suggest that the deposits of the Alta Floresta Mineral Province may have been controlled by a complex hydrothermal system, with the development of deposits formed in the epizone and mesozone, directly related to a volcano-plutonic activity in an intraplate environment (Silva and Abram 2008; Miguel Jr. 2011; Assis 2015 and references therein). The volcanic-plutonic magmatism (i.e., AFSL) was the source of the fluid and heat, controlling the hydrothermal system during the development of the gold and polymetallic deposits in the province.

Analytical results indicate the link between some mineralized deposits and the volcanic or volcanic-plutonic magmatic phases (Silva and Abram 2008; Assis 2015; Rios *et al*. 2019 and references therein). U-Pb SHRIMP zircon dating performed by Serrato (2014) supports the temporal relation of the AFSL at 1.80-1.79 Ga to the evolution of the mineral systems. The author reported ore dating in the mineralized zone yielding a Re-Os model age in molybdenite of 1.80 Ga. The Ar-Ar, U-Pb and Re-Os results available for other deposits of the province (see Table 3 for details) indicate a consistent period of mineralization between 1.80-1.78 Ga. Re/Os analyses in pyrite from mineralized zones of the Pé-Quente and Luizão deposits, yielded model ages of ca. 1.80-1.79 Ga, while the Re/Os data in molybdenite (X1 deposit) provided ages at 1.78 Ga (Serrato 2014; Assis *et al*. 2017). These robust Re-Os ages in the 1.79-1.78 Ga interval, link the AFSL development to an important metallogenic time in the Amazonian Craton. Recent U-Th-Pb SHRIMP dating in hydrothermal monazites from Trairão and Chumbo Grosso gold deposits (Rocha *et al*. 2020) yielded upper intercept ages of 1.80 and 1.79 Ga (see Table 3).

Towards the Guiana Shield, in the Pitinga Mineral Province, the Nb, Ta, U, REE, Zr and F-rich Água Boa and Madeira granitic plutons belong to the Madeira Suite dated at 1.82-1.81 Ga (Bastos Neto *et al*. 2014 and references therein). The plutons are tin-mineralized and exploited (Figure 7, Table 3).

The Água Boa pluton is composed of four facies where the earlier corresponds to a coarse grained rapakivi facies, followed by a fine-grained porphyritic biotite syenogranite, a coarse- to medium-grained biotite alkali feldspar granite, and topaz-bearing porphyritic granite. The topaz-bearing porphyritic granite is the younger facies dated at 1.80 Ga (Lenharo 1998) similar in age to the AFSL. Cassiterite-rich greisens and episyenites are associated with the hydrothermalized facies of the Água Boa pluton (Borges et al. 2003; Feio *et al*. 2007 and references therein). An Ar-Ar plateau age in mica of 1.78 Ga from greisens, defines the closing temperature of the hydrothermal activity (Lenharo *et al*. 2003).

The Madeira pluton (Figure 7) exhibits four facies (Lenharo *et al.* 2003; Costi *et al*. 2009 and references therein). The oldest facies comprises a fine- to medium grained porphyritic rapakivi granite (amphibole-biotite syenogranite). This facies is intruded by the medium- to fine-grained equigranular biotite alkali feldspar granite, in turn, cut by an alkali feldspar hypersolvus porphyritic granite, and finally by an albite-enriched granite as the third facies. The latter facies hosts uncommon cryolite mineralization, along with columbite-tantalite, pyrochlore and to a lesser extent, rare earth minerals, cryolite, torite, uraninite, ilmenite and magnetite (Borges *et al*. 2009; Bastos Neto *et al*. 2012 and references therein). Pb-Pb and U-Pb zircon ages at 1.82-1.79 Ga are known for the Madeira pluton facies (Lenharo 1998; Costi *et al*. 2000; Bastos Neto *et al*. 2014). The albite granite facies mineralization is dated with a U-Pb SHRIMP zircon age of 1.79 Ga and with an Ar-Ar mica age of 1.78 Ga (Lenharo 1998).

2.4.3 Matches with 1.80-1.79 Ga magmatism on other crustal blocks

Alta Floresta SLIP roughly matches the 1.81-1.76 Ga Transscandinavian Igneous Belt (Åhäll and Larson 2000; Johansson 2009) that similarly comprises granitoids and felsic volcanic rocks with subordinate mafic components that crop out from southern Sweden to northern Norway (Baltica). Also, it matches several 1.80-1.78 Ga mafic units from Baltica, such as the Ropruchey sills (Fedotova *et al*. 1999), Hoting Gabbro (Elming *et al*. 2009) and Småland intrusion (Pisarevsky and Bylund 2010), as well as ultramafic-mafic dykes, coeval tholeiitic and jutonitic dykes and AMCG complexes described in the Ukranian shield (Shumlyanskyy *et al*. 2016a, b; 2017).

2.5 The Avanavero LIP (1.79-1.78 Ga)

2.5.1 Distribution

The Avanavero LIP has been considered the most widespread and important mafic magmatism in the Amazonian Craton. It extends along the Orocaima, Uatumã and Alta Floresta SLIPs. The maximum life span for the Avanavero LIP is ca. 10 Myr constrained by ages between 1.79 and 1.78 Ga (Santos *et al*. 2003b; Reis *et al*. 2013a) – (Figure 1), such as the large mafic sills within the Roraima Supergroup (Reis et al. 2013a, 2017a). Although they occur mainly within the Roraima sedimentary rocks, its type-area in Suriname exposes swarms of dykes with NE-SW trend intruding both basement and cover.

Additional U–Pb SHRIMP baddeleyite ages at 1.78 Ga were obtained by Santos *et al*. (2002) for the Crepori sill in southwestern Pará State and for the Quarenta Ilhas sill in northeastern Amazonas State (Figure 1), allowing a correlation with the Avanavero LIP.

We note that the U-Pb age of 1.78 Ga documented for a gabbro of the Vespor Suite (Rizzotto *et al*. 2019) has tight match with the Avanavero LIP. In this way, we associate the Vespor magmatism to the Avanavero LIP rather than as an evolved product of the Western Amazonia Belt as postulated by the mentioned author.

2.5.3 Mineral Resources

At present, the Avanavero LIP has no economic interest, despite its large exposure across the Amazonian Craton. Tropical weathering on mafic rocks establishes good targets for prospecting in lateritic crusts or regoliths, such as the Amazon rainforest, therefore potential economic targets may exist.

The most important metallogenetic epoch to the Tapajós Mineral Province has been established on the base of Re-Os, Ar-Ar and U-Th-Pb ages at 1.80 and 1.78 Ga (Serrato 2014; Assis et al. 2017; Rocha et al. 2020), which correlates with the ca. 1.79-1.78 Ga Avanavero LIP event.

2.5.4 Matches with 1.79-1.78 Ga magmatism on other crustal blocks

In a global scale, the Avanavero LIP is correlative with the Svecoffenian magmatism in Baltica. This block among others was a close neighbor with Amazonia at that time, as supported by the paleomagnetic evidence (see Section 4). The U-Pb age of 1.79 Ga for the Libiri dyke swarm of Niger (Baratoux *et al*. 2019) represents one LIP barcode line for the West African craton and can be also matched with Avanavero LIP of the Amazonian Craton. The Avanavero LIP is probably equivalent to the dolerite dykes at 1.79 Ga which form a large-scale Prutivka-Novogol LIP event in the Ukrainian Shield (Shumlyanskyy et al. 2016a, b, c). The age match of the Avanavero LIP with the Alta Floresta SLIP suggests a genetic link, possibly to a common mantle plume.

2.6 The Rincón del Tigre-Huanchaca LIP (1.11 Ga)

2.6.1 Distribution

This 1.11 Ga LIP has widespread occurrence across the southwestern portion of the Amazonian Craton and includes two younger major tectonic elements that have important bearing for the scope of this paper: the Sunsás and Aguapeí belts. The 1.11-1.00 Ga Sunsás Belt marks the final consolidation of the Amazonian Craton (Teixeira *et al*., 2010). It hosts the 1.11 Ga Rincón del Tigre-Huanchaca LIP that matches in age to the mafic dykes of the Rio Perdido mafic swarm in the southmost portion of the Amazonian Craton (Teixeira et al. 2020). The Aguapeí Belt is a structurally confined zone of folded supracrustal rocks with little or no metamorphism which is roughly coeval with the Sunsás Belt (Geraldes et al. 2001; Teixeira et al. 2010).

Components of the Huanchaca-Rincón del Tigre LIP are scattered in the Bolivian and the adjoining Brazilian Precambrian shield respectively and can be distinguished by four nodes. The 720 km^2 Rincón del Tigre mafic-ultramafic layered complex and the Huanchaca sills at 1.11 Ga are located ca. 500 km apart in the eastern portion of Bolivian shield. A larger volume for the Rincón del Tigre Complex is envisaged from the nearby small exposures of mafic-ultramafic bodies that exhibit roughly similar NW-trending strikes (e.g., Mitchell 1979; Landivar *et al*. 1996). Noteworthy, the structural framework matches the NW-SE trend of the Sunsás shear zones and the overprinting deformation and low-grade metamorphism in the country rocks (e.g., Litherland *et al*. 1986; Teixeira *et al*. 2015). The Rincón del Tigre Complex comprises a 4.5 km thick sill deformed during the ca. 1.1-1.0 Ga Sunsás Orogeny (Litherland *et al*. 1986; Prendergast 1998). It is composed of a basal ultrabasic rocks (serpentinized dunite, harzburgite, olivine bronzitite, bronzitepicrite), a middle mafic unit (lower norite layer passing upward into a gabbro layer) and an upper felsic unit (granophyre) (Litherland *et al*. 1986; Annells *et al*. 1986a, b), both units with tholeiitic signature.

The Huanchaca sills and dykes (Lima *et al*. 2011, 2012) crops out mainly in the Bolivian Precambrian Shield and crosscut an elongated sedimentary tableland that overlies the Paleoproterozoic crust of the Paraguá Block (e.g., Teixeira *et al*. 2010). A U-Pb SHRIMP age in xenotime, extracted from a pelite of the Huanchaca sedimentary succession constrains the post-depositional diagenetic episode at 1.15 Ga (Santos *et al*. 2005; D'Agrella-Filho *et al*. 2008).

The third node of this LIP consists of mafic dykes of the Rio Perdido Suite (1.11 Ga; Teixeira *et al*. 2019) that crosscut Early-Statherian crust of the Paraguá Block – a close neighbor with the accretionary margin of Amazonia (Teixeira *et al*. 2020; Ribeiro *et al*. 2020). This LIP comprises WNW-ESE to E-W trending gabbro and dolerite dykes with 100-200 km in the exposed length and appears to be ca. 140 km wide.

The possibly fourth LIP node, given the age match, is a metamorphic suite originated at 1.12-1.11 Ga in the state of Rondônia which includes gabbros, troctolites, basalts, dolerites, amphibolites, metagranites and subvolcanic metagranites, in addition to trondhjemites exposed as rounded or oval intrusions, sills, and dykes.

2.6.2 *Mineral Resources*

The Rincón del Tigre Complex, a mafic-ultramafic layered intrusion in the eastern lowlands of Bolivia, has been investigated during the nineties of the past century to the discovery of a "Precious Metals Zone", a well-developed but subeconomic strata-bound zone of platinum-group elements, sulfide and gold mineralization (Prendergast 2000).

Copper-dominant, strata-bound disseminated sulfide mineralization was identified within the magnetite gabbro, the upper and thickest lithological unit of the entire layered sequence estimated around 3,000 meters, and contains a broad precious metals mineralized zone at its base.

The basal zone comprises an upper Cu sulfide-rich sub-zone 80-185 m thick and contains sub-economic platinum-group metals and gold mineralization. Concentrations of Mn, Cr, Fe, Co, and Ni occur in the residual soils overlying the lower ultramafic unit and are the main economic ores in the Bolivian area (Litherland *et al*., 1986; Prendergast 2000 and references therein).

The Don Mario Mineral District is located ca. 100 km northward from the Rincón del Tigre Complex, as well as the Puquio Norte deposit (Litherland *et al*. 1986; Geraldes *et al*. 2008; Isla-Moreno 2009). The hydrothermal affinity of the skarn-type Cu-Au mineralization in the Dom Mario deposit is consistent with the following evidence: i) Cu-Bi-Au-Mo association; ii) Au-Cu-Zn-Pb-Ag-Bi polymetallic association; iii) nearby occurrence of the Las Senoritas granite dated at 1.00 Ga. The Au-rich sheared zones yielded a Re/Os age in molybdenite of 0.99 Ga (Isla-Moreno 2009). The geology in Puquio Norte consists of 1) banded iron formations (BIFs), 2) sericite-schists and phyllites that host Au–Cu mineralization among other metals associated with hydrothermal fluids that migrated along the Sunsás shear zones and faults, and 3) injections of pegmatite (U-Pb zircon age of 1.00 Ga). The carbonate/quartz veins contain free gold grains or as inclusion in arsenopyrite. The gangue minerals comprise quartz, carbonate, sericite, and chlorite. The sulfide minerals are arsenopyrite, pyrite, chalcopyrite, and stibnite. They yielded Pb isotopic constraints akin to mixed mantle and upper crust sources associated with the Sunsás shear zones (Geraldes *et al*. 2008).

The Huanchaca sills and the Rio Perdido Suite, the other two mafic LIP components, do not have any known ore deposits, except that reef-like manganese mineralization has been reported locally associated with the sills (e.g., Litherland and Power 1989). However, given that the Rincón del Tigre LIP portion hosts subeconomic PGE mineralized zones, these and the other two mafic nodes may be targets for their economic potential.

Most important economic resources are related to the Rondonian Tin Province (Bettencourt et al. 2016 and references therein). This province is composed of three distinct intrusive suites dated at 1.3, 1.1 and 1.0 Ga respectively, that host primary rare and base-metal mineralization. For instance, one the youngest massifs (Santa Bárbara; 0.99-0.98 Ga) contains rare and base-metal polymetallic deposits (Sn, W, Nb ± Ta, Be, Zn, Cu, Pb) mainly in greisen, quartz veins, and pegmatite injections (e.g., Sparrenberger 2003; Bettencourt *et al*. 2016 and references therein). This origin of this massif which is post-tectonic to the Sunsás collision points to a long period of plume-driven magmatism coupled with plate dynamics since 1.11 Ga. This model implies that the thermal inputs from the 1.11 Ga Huanchaca-Rincón del Tigre SLIP triggered the mineralization within the youngest granite suites.

The Santa Bárbara massif includes two subsolvus facies, distinguished in terms of geological relationships and ages, and the previously published U-Pb monazite ages of 0.99 Ga define that of the mineralization (Sparrenberger 2003). The Tin granite facies (0.98 Ga) encompasses a medium-grained porphyritic albite-microcline granite and fine-grained equigranular to porphyritic albite-microcline granite, this one, is located in the apical part of the cupola. The deposit encloses two styles of mineralization: (1) bed-like cassiterite-bearing topaz-zinnwaldite-quartz-greisen bodies, up to 40 meters thick and salmon-colored albitized granites (Taboquinha-greisen) and, (2) vein-veinlet/stockwork containing topaz-zinnwaldite-quartz greisen veins, quartz-cassiterite veins, muscovite-veins, and late kaolinite stockwork/veinlets (Sparrenberger 2003, Bettencourt *et al*. 2005) – Figure 8.

The hydrothermal alteration is represented by greisenization, albitization, silicification, muscovitization, and argillization during late- to post-magmatic stages (Sparrenberger 2003).

Finally, a further potential result from the thermal influx of the Rincón del Tigre-Huanchaca LIP could be the gold occurrences associated with the basal conglomeratic levels (Sunsás/Aguapeí) and hydrothermal fluids along shear zones (Aguapeí Belt). In this way, Litherland *et al*. (1986) documented that the conglomerates in the Bolivian counterpart are potential targets for gold mineralization, as placer deposits. In the Brazilian side, the gold ores have been exploited from placer, lateritic and hydrothermal quartz (Geraldes *et al*. 1997; Fernandes *et al*., 2006). The Au-rich quartz veins occur mainly disseminated in the conglomerates due to hydrothermal fluid percolation.

The Pau-a-Pique, Lavrinha, Onça and Ellus gold deposits occur along a branch of the Aguapeí Belt in Brazil (Mato Grosso State) as depicted in Figure 9. Ar-Ar ages in sericite from the hydrothermal veins in the Pau-a-Pique and Ellus deposits yielded ages of 0.91 and 0.93 Ga (Fernandes *et al*. 2006) – Table 4. The geochronological and structural data combined with petrographic studies suggest an epigenetic origin for the gold deposits.

The ore mineralogy is composed of quartz, pyrite with gold veins, whereas the associated hydrothermal alteration zones contain quartz, sericite, pyrite (altered to limonite), and magnetite (altered to hematite). Chalcopyrite, galena, and sphalerite may be present. Chemical analysis of sulfides indicated high contents of Bi, Se, and Te in sulfides and gold, suggesting a primary plutonic involvement for the hydrothermal fluids. The K-Ar dating of hydrothermal sericites from the gold veins yielded apparent ages in sericite from 0.96 to 0.84 Ga, whereas Pb-Pb dating in galena yielded model ages in the range 1.00-0.80 Ga (Onça deposit) – Table 4.

2.6.3 Matches with 1.11 Ga magmatism on other crustal blocks

The onset of this Rincón del Tigre-Huanchaca LIP occurred at approximately the same time as the 1.11-1.08 Ga Keweenawan plume of Central Laurentia along the Mid-Continent rift (Heaman et al. 2007; Ernst 2014). Choudhary *et al*. (2019) recognized the 1.11 Ga Rincón del Tigre-Huanchaca LIP of Amazonia to belong to coeval LIP units on other blocks (Bundelkhand Block, India, Kalahari and Congo cratons) in a reconstructed mega-continent (term used in the sense of Wang *et al*. 2020) named Umkondia.

3. LIP/SLIP EVENTS AND THE GEOLOGIC TIME SCALE BOUNDARIES

It has recently been proposed that the Precambrian time scale boundaries can be linked to LIPs similarly to many Phanerozoic LIPs where some specific divisions are also markers of dramatic climatic and environmental secular changes (e.g., Ernst and Youbi 2017; Gradstein et al., 2020; Kasbohm *et al*. 2020). In fact, Precambrian LIPs can potentially more precisely define the time scale boundaries (Ernst and Youbi 2017; Zhang *et al*. 2018, 2021; Ernst *et al*. 2020, 2021).

When it comes to the Amazonian SLIPs and LIPs, some of them coincide with currently defined time-scale periods (Figure 10). For instance, the 1.79 Ga Avanavero LIP and coeval Alta Floresta SLIP can be linked with the Orosirian-Statherian boundary. It could be further suggested that the other LIP/ SLIP events of Amazonia at 1.98-1.96 Ga, 1.88-1.87 Ga and 1.11 Ga could mark divisions within the Orosirian, Statherian and Stenian periods, respectively, based on the global LIP/SLIP database (Ernst and Youbi 2017; Zhang *et al*. 2021; Ernst *et al*. 2020, 2021).

Figure 10 also shows several other mafic and felsic magmatic activities such as those in the Calymmian and Stenian, interpreted as intermittent intraplate activity throughout the Amazonian Craton (Teixeira et al., 2010, 2019). Some of these magmatic episodes are synchronic with sedimentary (e.g., Roraima Supergroup) or volcanic-sedimentary covers (e.g., Vila do Carmo/Roosevelt).

4. THE PALEOGEOGRAPHY OF THE AMAZONIA AND GLOBAL CORRELATIONS

4.1 Introduction

Geological and geochronological evidence suggest that at two times in the Proterozoic the existing continental masses formed supercontinents: Columbia at 1.6 Ga and Rodinia at 1.0-0.9 Ga (e.g., Li *et al*. 2008; Meert 2012; Silver and Behn 2008; Pisarevsky *et al*. 2014; Wang *et al*. 2020). Recently, Wang *et al*. (2020) used the term Nuna for a mega-continent that resulted from the collision of cratonic blocks that formed Laurentia at ca. 1.8 Ga due to the Trans-Hudson orogen, which included Baltica and Siberia. This mega-continent, probably enclosed other nearby landmasses, such as Amazonia and West Africa at this time (Johansson 2009; Bispo-Santos *et al*. 2014a). The Columbia supercontinent was eventually formed ca. 200 Myr later with the amalgamation of other blocks, such as Australia (Wang *et al*. 2020). Here we follow the terms Nuna (mega-continent) and Columbia (supercontinent) with the meaning as suggested by Wang et al. (2020).

Mainly in the last two decades, several geological units from the Amazonian Craton have been studied by paleomagnetism with important implications for Nuna and Rodinia (see D'Agrella-Filho *et al*. 2016a and D'Agrella-Filho *et al*. 2020 for a review). We reassess here the studies of some Brazilian geological units of particular interest to the present manuscript covering the Guiana and Central Brazil shields (Figure 1): the 1.98-1.96 Ga volcanic rocks in the Orocaima SLIP (Bispo-Santos *et al*. 2014a), the 1.88-1.87 Ga volcanic rocks of the Uatumã SLIP (Antonio et al., 2017, 2021), the 1.79-1.78 Ga volcanic rocks in the Alta Floresta SLIP (Bispo-Santos *et al*. 2008) and the Avanavero LIP (Bispo-Santos *et al*. 2014b). Other paleomagnetic poles from the Amazonian craton relevant to the later Mesoproterozoic times (used hereafter) have been described by Bispo-Santos *et al*. (2012, 2020) and D'Agrella-Filho *et al*. (2008, 2012, 2016b).

Based on geological and paleomagnetic data, it has long ago suggested that Amazonia docked to West Africa at ca. 2.00-1.97 Ga in a position where the Guri (in Amazonia) and Sassandra (in West Africa) shear zones were aligned and associated with the same lineament (Onstott and Hargraves 1981; Nomade *et al*. 2003). More recently, Bispo-Santos *et al*. (2014b) obtained a paleomagnetic pole for the I-type volcanics of the Orocaima SLIP. These authors compared the apparent polar wander paths (APWP) for Amazonia and West Africa for the period between 2.10 Ga and 1.95 Ga and suggested that the paleomagnetic data corroborate the amalgamation of Amazonia and West Africa at ca. 1.97-1.96 Ga in a similar paleogeography using such large shear zones referred above. However, based on the same paleomagnetic data, Antonio *et al*. (2021) tested a slightly different reconstruction for Amazonia and West Africa which aligns the Sassandra shear zone in West Africa and the North Guiana Trough and other shear zones in Guiana Shield (after Chardon *et al*. 2020). According to Antonio *et al*. (2021), this reconstruction is supported by geological and paleomagnetic data.

Important paleomagnetic studies were also produced for volcanics of the Uatumã SLIP which were interpreted as evidence of a true polar wander event (Antonio *et al*. 2017). New paleomagnetic data published recently for 1.88 Ga felsic dykes (also from the Uatumã SLIP) corroborate this interpretation (Antonio *et al*. 2021).

Below we briefly discuss the implications of paleomagnetic data on the participation of Amazonia in the establishment of the mega-continent Nuna (Wang *et al*. 2020) at ca. 1.79-1.78 Ga, its longevity, and the formation of Rodinia. The paleomagnetic pole obtained for the Avanavero LIP plays an important role in this reconstruction (Bispo-Santos *et al*. 2014b).

4.2 Amazonia in Nuna

The position of Amazonia in Paleoproterozoic times has been the subject of dispute. Some authors suggested that the Amazonia was juxtaposed to Baltica and West Africa, in a configuration named SAMBA (Johansson 2009), based on geological evidence (see also Evans and Mitchell 2011; Baratoux *et al*. 2019). Paleomagnetic data published for the Avanavero Dolerite (LIP) corroborate the link between Amazonia and Baltica (Bispo-Santos *et al*. 2014b). More recently, new paleomagnetic data from the ca. 1.54-1.53 Ga AMG complex in Roraima State also support a similar link for Amazonia and Baltica at this time (Bispo-Santos *et al*. 2020). Moreover, these authors suggest a long life for this connection in the paleogeography, since 1.78 Ga up to 1.53 Ga, at least.

However, the paleogeographies of Amazonia and Baltica proposed by Bispo-Santos *et al*. (2014b) and Bispo-Santos *et al*. (2020) are slightly different from the SAMBA model (Johansson 2009), so much that Bispo-Santos *et al*. (2020) refer their reconstruction as 'SAMBA-like model'. The problem with the SAMBA model is that no rotation poles for this reconstruction were originally described by Johansson (2009) which could permit a paleomagnetic test. Here we conduct this test by calculating Euler rotation poles that bring Baltica and West Africa to Amazonia, trying to get as close as possible the SAMBA model as proposed by Johansson (2009). Figure 11a shows our paleogeography of the SAMBA connection at ca. 1.79-1.78 Ga. Amazonia is in its present position while Baltica is rotated -82.80° around a Euler pole at 43.82°N, 195.29°E. For West Africa we used the following rotation pole, 52.2°N, 336.86°E (-67.03°) which brings this block approximately to the position as suggested by Johansson (2009). In their model the west coast of West Africa fits the southeastern margin of Baltica, and the São Luís Block (SLB in Figure 11a) is located between the Ivory Coast (Africa) and the embayment outboard of Suriname on the Amazonian coast. The main geotectonic/geochronologic provinces of Baltica, Amazonia and West Africa are also shown in Figure 11a. The dashed lines establish the approximate limits between coeval provinces in Baltica and Amazonia. The position of Laurentia is not the same as that proposed by Johansson (2009) since the Laurentian paleomagnetic data did not corroborate his reconstruction. So, we used a Euler rotation pole (62.36°N, 258.1°E, -96.73°) that depicts the same reconstruction proposed by Evans and Pisarevsky (2008) for the Laurentia linked to Baltica.

Selected paleomagnetic poles (Table 5) with ages between 1.79 and 1.75 Ga for Laurentia, Baltica and Amazonia are also shown in Figure 11a. Poles from Laurentia and Baltica were rotated using the same Euler poles used in the SAMBA reconstruction (Figure 11a). Four poles are presently available for Baltica whose mean is represented by pole B5 (mean age of 1.78 Ga) in Figure 11a (Table 5). Amazonia is represented by the Avanavero pole (pole A1 in Figure 11a) whose mean age is 1.79 Ga. Their confidence circles intercept each other, and if we consider the uncertainty in the pole ages, one can say that they corroborate the SAMBA model of Johansson (2009).

The I-type Colíder volcanics from the Alta Floresta LIP (1.79 Ga) was also the subject of a paleomagnetic study (Bispo-Santos *et al*. 2008). Although this suite and the Avanavero sills are coeval, their paleomagnetic poles are distinct. The possibility that the Colíder pole represents a younger remagnetization is endorsed by the following facts: (i) the Avanavero pole was obtained for anorogenic rocks from the Guiana Shield, which are only partially affected in its southern part by the 1.2 Ga K'Mudku Episode (Cordani *et al*., 2010), and a positive baked contact test obtained for these rocks attests to the primary nature of the Avanavero sills' directions (Bispo-Santos *et al*. 2014b); (ii) Bispo-Santos *et al*. (2008) argue in favor of a primary nature for the Colíder pole referred to as felsic volcanic rocks, but no field tests were conducted in their study. The Colíder volcanics occur in the southern part of Amazonian Craton not far from the Mesoproterozoic NW-SE trending magmatic arcs related to the final collision of Paraguá Block at ca. 1.33 Ga (Bettencourt *et al*. 2010). Therefore, the Colíder pole could have experienced remagnetizations due to such collisional episode (see also D'Agrella-Filho et al. 2016a, 2020). The on-going paleomagnetic study of the 1.78 Ga Vespor mafic rocks attributed to the Avanavero LIP may bring new insights on the paleogeography of the Amazonia.

For West Africa, no 1.79-1.75 Ga poles are presently available to test its position in the reconstruction of Figure 11a. However, we stress that, although the paleomagnetic data corroborate a collision of Amazonia and West Africa culminating at ca. 1.97 Ga (see above), the exact position of the link between these two cratons cannot be established due to the uncertainties in the paleomagnetic poles and their ages, and the Amazonia linked to West Africa as in the SAMBA model is equally possible.

4.3 Longevity of Nuna and assembly of Columbia

Bispo-Santos *et al*. (2020) advocate a long life for their SAMBA-like connection, based on the comparison of paleomagnetic poles from Baltica, Laurentia and Amazonia in the interval 1.79 Ga and 1.40 Ga (Figure 12a). These authors also suggest that either Amazonia/West Africa broke up from SAMBA at some time between 1.54 and 1.44 Ga, or this landmass rotated counterclockwise relative to Baltica, preserving the integrity of Nuna. The Laurentia/Baltica link may have had a yet a longer life, probably kept united up to 1.27 Ga (e.g., Salminen *et al*. 2017). Siberia is also considered as part of the core of a long-lived Nuna, where the present southern and eastern margins of Siberia juxtapose directly adjacent to, respectively, the arctic margin of Laurentia and the Uralian margin of Baltica (e.g., Evans and Mitchell 2011; Evans *et al*. 2016; Ernst *et al*. 2016; Salminen *et al*. 2017), although a looser fit is also proposed (Pisarevsky *et al*. 2014).

Here we test again the longevity of the Amazonia/Baltica link, but now using the reconstruction of Figure 11a (SAMBA connection). As in Bispo-Santos *et al*. (2020) we used the APWP between 1.79 and 1.4 Ga for Baltica as a reference (Figure 12b, Table 5). Several poles from Baltica in this time interval are classified as key poles (see Table 5). The ages associated with these poles calibrate the APWP traced for Baltica in Figure 12b. Selected paleomagnetic poles from Amazonia and Laurentia for the same time interval (Table 5) were also plotted in this polar path, after rotating them using the same Euler poles used in our reconstruction of SAMBA (Figure 11a).

A relatively good agreement of Amazonia and Laurentia poles can be observed along this path except for the 1.44-1.42 Ga Amazonian poles, which suggest that this landmass may have already broken-up from the core of Columbia (already assembled at ca. 1.6 Ga), considering the model of Wang *et al*. (2020). An alternative interpretation for the 1.44-1.40 Ga Amazonia, Baltica and Laurentia poles is the reconstruction proposed by Pehrsson *et al*. (2016) at this time (Figure 11b). In this reconstruction, Amazonia/West Africa appears rotated counterclockwise relative to Baltica, compared with its position in the SAMBA model of Figure 11a, suggesting that rotation of this landmass eventually may have occurred inside Columbia at some time between 1.53 Ga and 1.42 Ga. The confidence circles (A_{95}) of the 1.53-1.52 Ga poles for the Parguaza and Mucajaí intrusions (A2 and A3 in Figure 12b, respectively) intercept each other and their poles fall around the 1.55 Ga part of the APWP traced for Baltica. This age is slightly older than the ages obtained for these rocks, but the Baltica APWP between 1.64 and 1.46 Ga suggests a low polar drift rate for the core of Nuna. Also, the position of the Parguaza and Mucajaí poles fall in a yet older part of the Baltica APWP, if we consider the reconstruction proposed by Bispo-Santos *et al*. (2020) (compare Figures 12a and 12b), suggesting that the SAMBA model (Figure 11a) could represent a better paleogeography for the Baltica/Amazonia/West Africa link at 1.55-1.53 Ga ago in the configuration of Columbia. The link of West Africa in the SAMBA paleogeography is supported by the age match with the 1.53 Ma Essakane swarm (Baratoux *et al*. 2019). A long-lived Nuna is also consistent with the Paleo- to Mesoproterozoic geological evidence (e.g., Vigneresse 2005; D'Agrella-Filho *et al*. 2020).

4.4 Amazonia in Rodinia

There is relative consensus that Amazonia participated in the Rodinia Supercontinent through the collision of this landmass with Laurentia along the 1.2-0.9 Ga Sunsás and Grenville orogens, respectively (e.g., Sadowsky and Bettencourt 1996; Tohver *et al*. 2002, 2004; Li *et al*. 2008; D'Agrella-Filho *et al*. 2008; Evans 2013; Johansson 2014; Cawood and Pisarevsky 2017). However, the dynamics that operated during this collision remains a matter of discussion, with three models proposed in the literature: (1) an oblique collision of Amazonia with the southwestern Laurentia at 1.2 Ga, along the Lhano orogen, in the Texas area, followed by a transcurrent movement of Amazonia relative to Laurentia, up to its final position at the Labrador area, when it collided with Baltica at ca. 1.0 Ga (e.g., Tohver *et al*. 2002, 2004); (2) rupture of Amazonia/West Africa and Baltica from the nucleus of Nuna (in the SAMBA configuration) which rotated clockwise and collided again with Laurentia (e.g., Evans 2013), and (3) a frontal collision of the Amazonia/West Africa with Laurentia (e.g., Li *et al*. 2008; Cawood and Pisarevsky 2017).

Only two reliable poles are presently available from the Amazonian Craton: for 1.2 Ga (Tohver *et al*. 2002) and 1.15 Ga (D'Agrella-Filho *et al*. 2008). Comparison of these poles with coeval poles from Laurentia support the model described by D'Agrella-Filho *et al*. (2008). Ibañez-Mejia *et al*. (2011) adopted a similar model to explain the Colombian-Oaxaquian peri-Amazonian fringing arc system (Putumayo Orogeny) outboard of Amazonia that would have evolved during the Amazonia transcurrent movement up to its final collision with Baltica at ca. 1.0-0.9 Ga. Also, the origin of the 1.11 to 1.09 Ga mafic events (i.e., the Keweenawan plume) associated with the Mid-Continental rift in Laurentia and the 1.11 Ga Rincón del Tigre-Huanchaca LIP has been explained using this same model (Stein *et al*. 2014). The authors suggested, however, that this magmatism resulted from the Amazonia-Laurentia break-up, after the transcurrent motion up to 1.1 Ga.

Finally, we are aware that because of the paleolongitude ambiguity due to the symmetry of the geomagnetic axial dipole (GAD) field, and the polarity ambiguity for Precambrian poles, models 2 and 3 may not be discarded (see Evans 2013; Cawood and Pisarevsky 2017). Only with the determination of new reference poles in the 1.3-1.0 Ga time interval for the Amazonian Craton may one discard one or two of these models.

5. FINAL REMARKS

We reassessed the impressive background of precise U-Pb geochronology, isotopic-geochemical constraints and geologic setting of the main Proterozoic SLIPs and LIPs in the Amazonian Craton and their potential links with important ore deposits. This preliminary approach outlined here between LIP/SLIP events and ore deposit type models should be refined in the future as far more regional detailed geological and metallogenic constraints are acquired.

We revisited five large igneous events of LIP/SLIP scale, considered them as potential triggers for metallogenic provinces through time and space, where the Uatumã and Alta Floresta SLIPs are of most importance for metallogenetic potential:

The Orocaima (1.98–1.96 Ga), Uatumã (1.88–1.87 Ga), Alta Floresta (1.80-1.79 Ga) SLIP events, represented by the intracontinental volcanic-plutonic igneous belts, formed in post-orogenic to anorogenic settings. They show coherent association in time with convergent orogenic episodes that progressively built the Amazonia throughout the Paleoproterozoic, post-dating the Transamazonian/Eburnean orogeny. From a geodynamic perspective, these three SLIPs may be related to thermal perturbation in the upper mantle with associated mafic underplating from a plume. The paleomagnetic evidence suggests the 1.98-1.96 Ga Orocaima event occurred soon after collision of Amazonia and West Africa (Bispo-Santos *et al*. 2014b, Antonio *et al*. 2020, this work).

The Uatumã and Alta Floresta SLIPs host the most important mineral resources within the Amazonian Craton, taking account the Pitinga, Tapajós, Alta Floresta and Carajás mineral provinces where a dozen of gold and polymetallic deposits remain the focus of exploration. These SLIPs were the source of the fluid and heat that caused the hydrothermal system that led to the development of the mineral deposits. These deposits are directly related to the volcanic-plutonic activity and intraplate environment. Noteworthy, in Carajás Mineral Province a younger epigenetic phase associated with Cu-Zn and Cu-Co deposits is related to the remobilization of pre-existing mineralization during the recognized 1.88 Ga anorogenic granitic magmatism intruding the Carajás-Rio Maria ancient crust. The relationship between the Uatumã SLIP with 1.88 Ga granitic magmatism also extends to the Pitinga Mineral Province where Sn, Nb, Ta, U, REE, Zr, and F-rich bodies are exploited.

The Avanavero (1.79–1.78 Ga) in the Guiana Shield represent additional voluminous magmatism of intraplate character. This LIP formed over the already cooled and stabilized continental crust. From a broader perspective, the paleomagnetic results for the 1.79 Ga Avanavero Dolerite corroborate with the SAMBA model of Johansson (2009) suggesting that Amazonia and West Africa took part in the Columbia supercontinent. Alternatively, this LIP together with contemporary large scale magmatic episodes likely followed the process of amalgamation of several blocks forming Nuna at 1.78 Ga (after Wang et al. 2020).

The youngest LIP – 1.11 Ga Rincón del Tigre-Huanchaca - is coeval with the Sunsás orogeny whose aftermath consolidated the Amazonia as a Craton. There is consensus that the Sunsás-Grenville collision between the Amazonia and Laurentia represents the process of amalgamation of the Rodinia supercontinent (e.g., Li *et al*. 2008; D'Agrella-Filho *et al*. 2008; Johansson 2014), though the collision dynamics remains a matter of debate. Resolution depends on obtaining additional key paleomagnetic poles in the 1.3-1.0 Ga time interval for the Amazonian Craton.

From a metallogenetic perspective, two granitic suites collectively known as the Younger Granites of Rondônia (1.07-1.08 Ga; 0.99-0.97 Ga) could be tentatively related with the thermal inputs of the 1.11 Ga LIP. Separated by ca. 90 Myr these suites host rare metal polymetallic deposits mainly in greisen, quartz veins, and pegmatite injections. They fit the post-tectonic context of the Sunsás collision, suggesting a longtime period of plume-driven magmatism coupling with plate dynamics since 1.11 Ga. A further potential economic link for this LIP could be the gold occurrences produced by hydrothermal fluids along the network of shear zones outboard the Sunsás Belt in Bolivia and Brazil.

Finally, the Proterozoic LIP/SLIP events of Amazonia are consistent with the current defined boundaries between the Orosirian, Statherian and Stenian periods of the Geologic Time Scale.

AUTHOR STATEMENT

Nelson Reis and Wilson Teixeira: Conceptualization, Geologic Background and data compilation, Writing - original draft, Interpretation, Visualization, Review-editing; Jorge Bettencourt: Writing-original draft; Geologic background, Data compilation, Interpretation; Manoel D'Agrella-Filho: Writing-original draft, Data compilation, Paleomagnetic interpretation, Visualization, Review-editing; Richard Ernst: Writing - original draft, Data compilation, Review-editing; Luis Goulart: Writing-original draft, Geologic background, Data compilation, Interpretation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

W. Teixeira is grateful to the CNPq/Brazil for the Research Productivity Scholarship. This paper represents a contribution to the CNPq working group *Evolution of Cratonic Terranes* managed by W. Teixeira. M. S. D'Agrella-Filho thanks FAPESP and CNPq for the financial support to paleomagnetism works.

REFERENCES

Aguja-Bocanegra, M.A. 2013. Mineralizações Epitermal Low-Sulfidations e do tipo Pórfiro Superpostas associadas os Magmatismo Félsico de 1,88 Ga na Parte Norte da Província Mineral do Tapajós (PA). MSc Thesis, Universidade de São Paulo, Instituto de Geociências, São Paulo, 188 p.

Åhäll, K.-I. and Larson, S.Å., 2000. Growth-related 1.85–1.55 Ga magmatism in the Baltic Shield: a review addressing the tectonic characteristics of Svecofennian, TIB 1-related, and Gothian events. GFF, Journal of the Geological Society of Sweden **122**, 193–206.

Alexandre, P. 2010 Mineralogy and geochemistry of the sodium metasomatism-related uranium occurrence of Aricheng South, Guyana. *Mineralium Deposita* **45**, 351–367.

Almeida M.E. 2006. Evolução Geológica da porção centro-sul do Escudo das Guianas com base no estudo geoquímico, geocronológico e isotópico dos granitóides Paleoproterozóicos do sudeste de Roraima, Brasil. PhD Thesis. Centro de Geociências da Universidade Federal do Pará, Belém, 227 p.

Almeida M.E., Macambira M.J.B., Valente, S. de C. 2008. New geological and single-zircon Pb evaporation data from the Central Guyana Domain, southeastern Roraima, Brazil: Tectonic implications for the central region of the Guyana Shield. *Journal of South American Earth Sciencies* **26,** 318-328.

Almeida, M.E. 2016. Geologia e Recursos Minerais da Folha Sumaúma – SB.20-Z-D, Estado do Amazonas, escala 1:250.000. Projeto Sumaúma-Roosevelt-Mutum. *In*: Almeida M.E., Costa U.A.P., Oliveira, A.C. da S. (org.), Manaus: Serviço Geológico do Brasil – CPRM, 248 p. DOI: http://rigeo.cprm.gov.br/jspui/handle/doc/18292

Alves, C.L., Sabóia, A. M., Martins, E. G. and Stropper, J. L. 2010. Geologia e Recursos Minerais das Folhas São José do Xingu - SC.22-Y-A e Rio Comandante Fontoura - SC.22-Y-B. Escala 1:250.000, Goiânia: CPRM, Projeto Noroeste-Nordeste do Mato Grosso.120 p. DOI: http://rigeo.cprm.gov.br/jspui/handle/doc/11359

Alves. C. L., Rizzotto, G. J. , Rios, F.S. , Duarte, T.B. and Gonçalves, G. F. 2019. Estratigrafia. *In*: Alves C. L., Rizzotto G. J. , Rios F.S. , Duarte T.B., Gonçalves G. F. (org). Áreas de Relevante Interesse Mineral (ARIM) - Evolução Crustal e Metalogenia da Província Mineral Juruena-Teles Pires, Mato Grosso, Brasil, CPRM, Goiânia, 226 p. DOI: http://rigeo.cprm.gov.br/jspui/handle/doc/21324

Annells, R.N., Fletcher, C.J.N., Styles, M.T., Burton, C.C.J., Evans, R.B. and Harding, R.R. 1986a. The Rincón del Tigre Igneous Complex: a major layered ultramafic–mafic intrusion of Proterozoic age in the Prepotash shield of eastern Bolivia. Part I. Geology and Mineral Potential (with 1:100,000 scale geological map). British Geological Survey, Overseas Geology and Mineral Resources, 63 p.

Annells, R.N., Fletcher, C.J.N., Styles, M.T., Appleton, J.D., Burton, C.C.J., Evans, R.B. and Harding, R.R. 1986b. Mineral potential of the Rincón del Tigre Igneous Complex:a major Upper Proterozoic layered intrusion in the shield of eastern Bolivia. *In*: Gallagher, M.J., Ixer, R., Neary, C.R., Prichard (eds), Metallogeny of Basic and Ultrabasic Rocks. Institution of Mining and Metallurgy, London, 487–498.

Antonio, P.Y.J., D'Agrella-Filho, M.S., Trindade, R.I.F., Nédélec, A., Oliveira, D.C., Silva, F.F., Roverato, M. and Lana C. 2017. Turmoil before the boring billion: Paleomagnetism of the 1880–1860 Ma Uatumã event in the Amazonian craton. *Gondwana Research*, **49**, 106-129. DOI: https: //doi: 10.1016/j.gr.2017.05.006

Antonio, P.Y.J., D'Agrella-Filho, M.S. *et al*. 2021. New constraints for paleogeographic reconstructions at ca. 1.88 Ga from geochronology and paleomagnetism of the Carajás dyke swarm (eastern Amazonia). *Precambrian Research* **353**. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.precamres.2020.106039

Assis R. R. 2015. Depósitos auríferos associados ao magmatismo félsico da Província de Alta Floresta (MT), Cráton Amazônico: litogeoquímica, idade das mineralizações e fonte dos fluidos. PhD Thesis, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brasil, 320 p.

Assis, R.R., Xavier, R.P. and Creaser, R.A. 2017. Linking the timing of disseminated granite hosted gold-rich deposits to paleoproterozoic felsic magmatism at Alta Floresta Gold Province, Amazon Craton, Brazil: insights from pyrite and molybdenite Re-Os geochronology. *Economic Geology* **112**, 1937-1957.

Assunção R.F.S. and Klein E.L. 2014. The Moreira Gomes deposit of the Cuiú-Cuiú goldfield: fluid inclusions and stable isotope constraints and implications for the genesis of granite-hosted gold mineralization in the Tapajós Gold Province, Brazil. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences*, **49**, 85-105. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsames.2013.11.004

Baratoux, L., Söderlund, U. *et al*. 2019. New U–Pb Baddeleyite Ages of Mafic Dyke Swarms of the West African and Amazonian Cratons: Implication for Their Configuration in Supercontinents Through Time. R. K. Srivastava et al. (eds.), Dyke Swarms of the World: A Modern Perspective, Springer Geology. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-1666-1_7

Bastos Neto, A.C., Pereira, V., Pires, A.C., Barbanson, L. and Chauvet, A. 2012. F-rich xenotime from the Nb–Ta–Sn Madeira world-class deposit associated with the albite-enriched granite at Pitinga (Amazonian, Brazil). *Canadian Mineralogist* **50**, 1453–1466.

Bastos Neto, A.C., Ferron, J. T. M.M, Chauvet, A., Chemale, Jr. F., Lima, E. F., Barbanson, L. and Costa, C. F. M. 2014. U–Pb dating of the Madeira Suite and structural control of thealbite-enriched granite at Pitinga (Amazonia, Brazil): Evolution of the A-type magmatism and implications for the genesis of the Madeira Sn–Ta–Nb (REE, cryolite) world-class deposit. *Precambrian Research* **243**,181-196.

Bettencourt, J.S., Leite Júnior, W.B., Goraieb, C.L., Sparrenberger, I., Bello, R.M.S. and Payolla, B.L. 2005. Sn-polymetallic greisen-type deposits associated with latest age rapakivi granites, Brazil: fluid inclusion and stable isotope characteristics. *Lithos* **80**, 363-386.

Bettencourt, J.S., Leite, W.Jr., Ruiz, B., Matos, A.S., Payolla, R.S. and Tosdal, R.M. 2010. The Rondonian-San Ignacio Province in the SW Amazonian Craton: an overview. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* **29**, 28–46.

Bettencourt J. S., Juliani C., Xavier R. P., Monteiro L. V. S., Bastos Neto A. C., Klein E. L., Assis R. R., Leite Jr. W.B., Moreto C.P.N., Fernandes C.M.D., Pereira V. P. 2016. Metallogenetic systems associated with granitoid magmatism in the Amazonian Craton: An overview of the present level of understanding and exploration significance. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* **68**, 22-49.

Betiollo L.M., Reis N.J., Almeida M.E., Bahia R.C., Splendor F., Costa U.P., Luzardo R. 2009. Magmatismo Máfico Calimiano (Sill Mata-Matá), rio Aripuanã, Amazonas – Implicações Geológicas, presented at Simpósio de Geologia da Amazônia, XI, Manaus.

Biondi, J.C., Borgo, A., Chauvet, A., Monié, P., Bruguier, O. and Ocampo, R. 2018. Structural, mineralogical, geochemical and Geochronological constraints on ore genesis of the gold-only Tocantinzinho deposit (Pará State, Brazil). Ore Geology Review **102**, 154–194.

Biondi, J.C. 2020. Formation of the Tocantinzinho 1989 Ma gold-only deposit (Pará State, northern Brazil), based on sulfur, oxygen, hydrogen, and carbon isotope data. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences*, **97**,19 p.

Bispo-Santos, F., D'Agrella-Filho, M.S., Pacca, I.I.G., Janikian, L., Trindade, R.I.F., Elming, S-Å., Silva, J.A., Barros, M.A.S. and Pinho, F.E.C. 2008. Columbia revisited: Paleomagnetic results from the 1790 Ma Colíder volcanics (SW Amazonian Craton, Brazil). *Precambrian Research* **164**, 40-49. DOI: https: //doi: 10.1016/j.precamres.2008.03.004

Bispo-Santos, F., D'Agrella-Filho, M.S. *et al*. 2012. Tectonic implications of the 1419 Ma Nova Guarita mafic intrusives paleomagnetic pole (Amazonian Craton) on the longevity of Nuna. *Precambrian Research* 196-197, 1-22. DOI: https: //doi:10.1016/j.precamres.2011.10.022

Bispo-Santos, F., D'Agrella-Filho, M.S., Janikian, L., Reis, N.J., Reis, M.A.A.A. and Trindade, R.I.F. 2014a. Towards Columbia: Paleomagnetism of 1980–1960 Ma Surumu volcanic rocks, Northern Amazonian Craton. *Precambrian Research* **244**, 123-138. DOI: https: //doi:10.1016/j.precamres.2013.08.005

Bispo-Santos, F., D'Agrella-Filho, M.S., Trindade, R.I.F., Janikian, L. and Reis, N.J. 2014b. Was there SAMBA in Columbia? Paleomagnetic evidence from 1790 Ma Avanavero mafic sills (Northern Amazonian craton). *Precambrian Research* **244**, 139-155. DOI: https: //doi:10.1016/j.precamres. 2013.11.002.

Bispo-Santos, F., D'Agrella-Filho, M.S., Pesonen, L.J., Salminen, J.M., Reis, N.J. and Silva, J.M. 2020. The long life of SAMBA connection in Columbia: A Paleomagnetic Study of the 1535 Ma Mucajaí Complex, Northern Amazonian Craton, Brazil. *Gondwana Research* 80, 285-302. DOI: https: //doi.org/10.1016/j.gr.2019.09.016.

Bogdanova, S.V., Bingen, B., Gorbatschev, R., Kheraskova, T.N., Koslov, V.I., Puchkov, V.N. and Volozh, Yu.A., 2008. The East European Craton (Baltica) before and during the assembly of Rodinia. *Precambrian Research* **160**, 23–45.

Borges R.M.K., Dall'Agnol R., Costi H.T. 2003. Geologia, Petrografia e Química Mineral das micas dos greisens estaníferos associados ao Pluton Água Boa, Pitinga (AM). *Revista Brasileira de Geociências* **33**(1), 51-62.

Borges, R.M.K., Villas, R.N.N., Fuzikawa, K., Dall'Agnol, R. and Pimenta, M.A., 2009. Phase separation, fluid mixing, and origin of the greisens and potassic episyenite associated with the Água Boa pluton, Pitinga tin province, Amazonian Craton, Brazil. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* **27**, 161-183.

Borgo, A., Biondi, J.C., Chauvet, A., Bruguier, O., Monié, P., Baker, T., Ocampo, R., Friedman, R. and Mortesen, J. 2017. Geochronological, geochemical and petrographic constraints on the Paleoproterozoic Tocantinzinho gold deposit (Tapajos Gold Province, Amazonian Craton, Brazil). Implications for timing, regional evolution and deformation style of its host rocks. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* **75**, 92-115.

Briceño H.O., Tapia J., Estanga J. 1989. Formación Ichún. Volcanismo Acido del Grupo Roraima, presented at Congreso de Geología Venezolano, 7, Caracas, 52-81.

Bryan, S. and Ernst, R. E. 2008. Revised definition of large igneous provinces (LIPs). *Earth Science Review*, **86**, 175–202.

Bryan, S. E. and Ferrari, L. 2013. Large igneous provinces and silicic large igneous provinces: Progress in our understanding over the last 25 years. *Geological*

Society of America Bulletin, **125**, 1053–1078. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1130/B30820.1

Butt C.R.M. and Zeegers, H., 1989. Classification of geochemical exploration models for tropically weathered terrains. *Journal of Geochemical Exploration*, **32**: 65-74.

Cawood, P.A. and Pisarevsky, S.A., 2017. Laurentia-Baltica-Amazonia relations during Rodinia assembly. *Precambrian Research* **292**, 386-397.

Chardon, D., Bamba, O. and Traoré, K. 2020. Eburnean deformation pattern of Burkina Faso and the tectonic significance of shear zones in the West African craton. *Bulletin de la Société Géologique de France* 191.

Choudhary, B.R., Ernst, R.E., Xua, I-G., Evans, D.A.D., Kocke, M.O., Meertf, J.G., Ruiz, A.S. and Lima, G.A. 2019. Geochemical characterization of a reconstructed 1110 Ma Large Igneous Province. *Precambrian Research* **332**, 105382

Cinelu, S. and Cuney, M. 2006. Sodic metasomatism and U-Zr mineralization: A model based on the Kurupung batholith (Guyana). *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* **70**(18), A103. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2006.06.120

Cordani, U.G. and Teixeira, W. 2007. Proterozoic Accretionary Belts in the Amazonian Craton. *Geological Society of America Memoir*, **200**, 297-319. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1130/2007.1200

Cordani, U.G., Fraga, L.M., Reis, N.J., Tassinari, C.C.G. and Brito-Neves, B.B. 2010. On the origin and tectonic significance of the intra-plate events of Grenvillian-type in South America: A discussion. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* **29**: 143-159.

Corrêa-Lima R.G. and Klein E.L. 2020. Hydrothermal alteration, mineralization and fluid inclusions in the Pista and Fofão prospects: implications for the genetic model of the Coringa polymetallic deposit, SE Tapajós Mineral Province, Amazonian Craton, Brazil. Journal of the Geological Survey of Brazil **3**(1), 33-59. DOI: https://doi.org/10.29396/jgsb.2020.v3.n1.3

Costi, H.T., Dall'Agnol, R. and Moura, C.A.V. 2000. Geology and Pb–Pb geochronology of Paleoproterozoic volcanic and granitic rocks of Pitinga Province, Amazonian Craton, Northern Brazil. *International Geology Review* **42**, 832–849.

Costi, H.T., Dall'Agnol, R., Pichavant, M. and Rämo, O.T. 2009. The peralkaline tin-mineralized Madeira cryolite albite-rich granite of Pitinga, Amazonian Craton, Brazil: petrography, mineralogy and crystallization processes. *Canadian Mineralogist* **47**, 1301-1327.

CPRM, Geological Survey of Brazil 1999. Programa Levantamentos Geológicos Básicos do Brasil. Roraima Central, Folhas NA.20-X-B e NA.20-X-D (integrais), NA.20-X-A, NA.20-X-C, NA.21-V-A e NA.21-V-C (parciais). Escala 1:500.000. Estado de Roraima. *In*: L.M. Fraga (org.). Projeto Roraima Central, Manaus, 166 p. DOI: http://rigeo.cprm.gov.br/jspui/handle/doc/8510

CPRM, Geological Survey of Brazil 2010. Programa Geologia do Brasil. Programa Cartografia da Amazônia. Geologia e Recursos Minerais da Folha Vila de Tepequém, NA.20-X-A-III. Escala 1:100.000. Estado de Roraima. *In*: L.M. Fraga, A.M. Dreher (org.), Manaus, 182 p. DOI: http://rigeo.cprm.gov.br/jspui/handle/doc/10920

Davey, S.C., 2019. Testing the paleogeography of late Archean supercraton Superia using pre-breakup 2.51-1.98 Ga dyke and sill provinces – with a focus on the relationship between the Karelia-Kola and Superior cratonic fragments. Carleton University, p. 313 PhD Thesis.

D'Agrella-Filho, M.S., Tohver, E., Santos, J.O.S., Elming, S-Ǻ., Trindade, R.I.F., Pacca, I.G. and Geraldes, M.C. 2008. Direct dating of paleomagnetic results from

Precambrian sediments in the Amazon craton: Evidence for Grenvillian emplacement of exotic crust in SE Appalachians of North America. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **267**, 188-199.
D'Agrella-Filho, M.S., Trindade, R.I.F. *et al*. 2012. The 1420 Ma Indiavaí Mafic Intrusion (SW Amazonian Craton): Paleomagnetic results and implications for the Columbia supercontinent. *Gondwana Research* **22**, 956-973. DOI: https://doi:10.1016/j.gr.2012.02.022
D'Agrella-Filho, M. S., Bispo-Santos, F., Trindade, R. I. F. and Antonio, P.Y.J. 2016a. Paleomagnetism of the Amazonian Craton and its role in paleocontinents. *Brazilian Journal of Geology* **46**, 275-299.
D'Agrella-Filho, M.S., Trindade, R.I.F., Queiroz, M.V.B., Meira, V.T., Janikian, L., Ruiz, A.S. and Bispo-Santos, F. 2016b. Reassessment of Aguapeí (Salto do Céu) Paleomagnetic pole of the Amazonian Craton and implications for Proterozoic supercontinents. *Precambrian Research* **272**, 1-17.
D'Agrella-Filho, M.S., Antonio, P.Y.J., Trindade, R.I.F., Teixeira, W. and Bispo-Santos, F. 2020. Precambrian Drift History and Paleogeography of Amazonia. In: L.P. Pesonen, J. Salminen, D.A.D. Evans, S.-Å Elming, T. Veikkolainen (eds). Ancient Supercontinents and the Paleogeography of the Earth. Elsevier, Chapter 6.
Dezula, S.E.M., Barros, M.A.S., Pierosan R., Santos J.O.S. and Assis R.R. 2018. Granito Aragão - Suíte intrusiva Nhandú - um granito oxidado, tipo A2, de 1967 a 1964 Ma na Província Aurífera Alta Floresta - Cráton Amazônico, *Revista do Instituto de Geociências - USP*, *Série Científica*, **18**(1), 20.
Dreher, A.M., Almeida, M.E., Ferreira, A.L., Brito, M.F., Popini, M.V., Monteiro, M.A. 1999. Veios e brechas hidrotermais da Província Aurífera Tapajós: Aspectos Texturais e Implicações para a Exploração do Au Primário, presented at Simpósio de Geologia da Amazônia, 6, Manaus, 114-117.
Duarte, T. B. and Lopes L.B.L. 2015. Metalogenia das províncias minerais do Brasil: Província Aurífera Juruena-Teles Pires-Aripuanã; Geologia e Recursos da Ilha Porto Escondido - SC.21-V-C-III, estado do Mato Grosso, Goiânia, CPRM. DOI: http://rigeo.cprm.gov.br/jspui/handle/doc/16714
Echeverri-Misas, C.M. 2010. Geologia e Gênese do Depósito de Au-(Cu) do Palito, Província Aurífera do Tapajós. Dissertação de Mestrado, IG/USP.
Echeverri-Misas, C.M., 2015. Geologia e alteração hidrotermal nas rochas vulcânicas e plutônicas paleoproterozoicas na porção Sul da Província Mineral do Tapajós (PA). Tesis. Universidade de São Paulo (USP). DOI: http://www.teses.usp.br/teses/disponiveis/44/44141/tde-27082015-101343/
Elming, S-Å., Moakhar, M.O., Layer, P. and Donadini, F. 2009. Uplift deduced from remanent magnetization of a proterozoic basic dyke and the baked country rock in the Hoting area, Central Sweden: a palaeomagnetic and $^{40}Ar/^{39}Ar$ study. *Geophysical Journal International* **179**, 59–78.
Elming, S.-Å., Layer, P. and Söderlund, U. 2019. Cooling history and age of magnetization of a deep intrusion: A new 1.7 Ga key pole and Svecofennian-post Svecofennian APWP for Baltica. *Precambrian Research* **329**,182-194.
Ernst, R. E. and Bell, K. 2010. Large igneous provinces (LIPs) and carbonatites. *Mineralogy and Petrology* **98**, 55–76.
Ernst, R.E. and Jowitt, S.M. 2013. Large Igneous Provinces (LIPs) and Metallogeny. *Society of Economic Geologists*, Special Publication **17**, 17-51.
Ernst, R.E., Bleeker, W., Söderlund, U. and Kerr, C.A. 2013. Large Igneous Provinces and supercontinents: toward completing the plate tectonic revolution.

Lithos **174**, 1-14.
Ernst, R.E. 2014. Large Igneous Provinces. Cambridge University Press.
Ernst, R.E., Hamilton, M.A. *et al*. 2016. Long-lived connection between southern Siberia and northern Laurentia in the Proterozoic. *Nature Geoscience* **9**, 464-469.
Ernst, R.E. and Youbi, N. 2017. How Large Igneous Provinces affect global climate, sometimes cause mass extinctions, and represent natural markers in the geological record. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* **478**, 30–52.
Ernst, R.E., Davies, D.R., Jowitt, S.M. and Campbell, I.H. 2018, When do mantle plumes destroy diamonds? *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **502**, 244-252.
Ernst, R.E., Bond D.P.G. and Zhang S.H. 2020. Influence of Large Igneous Provinces. *In*: F.M. Gradstein, Ogg J.G., Schmitz M.D., Ogg G.M. (eds). Geologic Time Scale 2020, 345-356. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-824360-2.00012-7
Ernst, R.E., Bond, D.P.G. *et al*. 2021, Large Igneous Province record through time and implications for secular environmental changes and Geological Time-Scale boundaries. *In*: R.E. Ernst, A.J. Dickson, A. Bekker (eds), Large Igneous Provinces: A Driver of Global Environmental and Biotic Changes. AGU Geophysical Monograph, 255 p.
Evans, D.A.D. and Pisarevsky, S.A., 2008. Plate tectonics on early Earth? Weighing the paleomagnetic evidence. In: K.C. Condie, V. Pease (eds), When Did Plate Tectonics Begin on Planet Earth? *Geological Society American Special Paper* **440**, 249–263.
Evans, D.A.D. and Mitchell, R. N. 2011. Assembly and breakup of the core of Paleoproterozoic–Mesoproterozoic supercontinent Nuna. *Geology* **39**, 443–446.
Evans, D. A. D. 2013. Reconstructin pre-Pangean supercontinents. *Geological Society of American Bulletin* **125**, 1735-1751.
Evans, D.A.D., Veselovsky, R.V., Petrov, P.Yu., Shatsillo, A.V. and Pavlov, V.E. 2016. Paleomagnetism of Mesoproterozoic margins of the Anabar Shield: A hypothesized billion-year partnership of Siberia and northern Laurentia. *Precambrian Research* **281**, 639–655.
Fedotova, M.A., Khramov, N.A., Pisakin, B.N. and Priyatkin, A.A. 1999. Early Proterozoic palaeomagnetism: new results from the intrusives and related rocks of the Karelian, Belomorian and Kola provinces, eastern Fennoscandian Shield. *Geophysical Journal Interiors* **137**, 691–712.
Feio, G.R.L., Dall'Agnol, R. and Borges, R.M.K. 2007. Greisens associados ao topázio-granito do pluton Água Boa, Província Estanífera de Pitinga: Petrografia e Balanço de massa. *Revista Brasileira de Geociências* **37**(3), 2-17.
Fernandes, C.J., Kuyumjian, R.M., Pulz, G.M., Geraldes, M.C. and Pinho, F.E.C. 2006. Geologia Estrutural e idade $^{40}Ar/^{39}Ar$ do Depósito de Ouro Pau-Apique, Faixa Móvel Aguapeí, Sudoeste do Estado do Mato Grosso, *Revista Brasileira de Geociências* **36** (I - Suplemento), 3-15.
Fernandes, C.M.D., Juliani, C., Moura, C.A.V., Lagler, B. 2008. Paleoproterozoic bimodal volcanism of the São Félix do Xingu region, South Pará State, Amazonian Craton, Brazil, presented at 33rd International Geological Congress, Oslo.
Fernandes, C.M.D. Juliani, C., Monteiro, L.V.S., Lagler, B. and Echeverri-Misas, C.M. 2011. High-K calc-alkaline to A-type fissure-controlled volcano-plutonism of the São Felix do Xingu region, Amazonian craton, Brazil: exclusively crustal

sources or only mixed Nd model ages? *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* **32**, 351–368.

Ferron, J.M.T.M., Bastos Neto, A.C., Lima, F.de, Nardi, L.V.S., Costi, H.T., Pierosan, R., Prado, M. 2010. Petrology, geochemistry, and geochronology of Paleoproterozoic volcanic and granitic rocks (1.89–1.88 Ga) of the Pitinga Province, Amazonian Craton, Brazil. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences*, **29**, 483-497.

Fraga, L.M.B., Haddad, R.C. and Reis, N.J. 1997. Aspectos Geoquímicos das Rochas Granitóides da Suíte Intrusiva Pedra Pintada, Norte do Estado de Roraima. *Revista Brasileira de Geociências* **27** (1), 3-12.

Fraga L.M, Reis N.J., Dall'Agnol R., Armstrong R. 2008. Cauarane - Coeroene Belt - The Tectonic Southern Limit of the Preserved Rhyacian Crustal Domain in the Guyana Shield, Northern Amazonian Craton, presented at International Geological Congress, 6-14/8, Oslo, Norway.

Fraga L.M., Cordani U., Kroonenberg S.B., de Roever E.W.F., Nadeau S. and Maurer V.C. 2017a. U-Pb SHRIMP New Data On The High-Grade Supracrustal Rocks Of The Cauarane-Coeroeni Belt – Insights On The Tectonic Eo-Orosirian Evolution of the Guiana Shield, presented at Simpósio de Geologia da Amazônia, 15, Belém. 4p.

Fraga L.M., Cordani U., Reis N.J., Nadeau S., Maurer V.C. 2017b. U-Pb SHRIMP and LA-ICPMS New Data for Different A-Type Granites of the Orocaima Igneous Belt, Central Guiana Shield, Northern Amazonian Craton, presented at Simpósio de Geologia da Amazônia, 15, Belém. 4p.

Fraga L.M., Vasquez M.L., Almeida M.E., Dreher A.M., Reis N.J. 2017c. A Influência da Orogenia Eo-Orosiriana na formação da SLIP Uatumã, parte central do Cráton Amazônico, presented at Simpósio de Geologia da Amazônia, 15, Belém. 4p.

Fraga L.M., Lafon, J-M. and Tassinari, C.C.G. 2020. Geologia e Evolução Tectônica das porções central e nordeste do Escudo das Guianas e sua estruturação em cinturões eo-orosirianos. In: A. Bartorelli, W. Teixeira, B.B. de B. Neves (eds), Geocronologia e Evolução Tectônica do Continente Sul-Americano: A Contribuição de Umberto Giuseppe Cordani. Solaris Edições Culturais, São Paulo, 92-110.

Gala, M.G., Symons, D.T.A. and Palmer, H.C., 1995. Paleomagnetism of the Jan Lake Granite, Trans-Hudson Orogen. In: Summary of Investigations 1995, Saskatchewan Geological Survey, *Sask. Energy Mines Misc. Rep*. **95**(4), 145-152.

Galé, M. G. 2018. Gênese das mineralizações associadas ao magmatismo ácido na região do Garimpo do Papagaio, noroeste da Província Aurífera de Alta Floresta (MT). PhD Thesis, Universidade de São Paulo, Instituto de Geociências, São Paulo, 171 p.

Geraldes, M. C., Tassinari, C.C.G. and Ebert, H.D. 1997. Middle Proterozoic vein-hosted gold deposit in the Pontes e Lacerda region, southwestern Amazonian craton, Brazil. *International Geology Review*, **39**, 438-448.

Geraldes, M.C., Van Schmus, W.R., Condie, K.C., Bell, S., Teixeira, W. and Babinski, M. 2001. Proterozoic geologic evolution of the SW part of the Amazonian craton in Mato Grosso state, Brazil. *Precambrian Research* **11**, 91-108.

Geraldes, M.C., Herkenhoff, A.V., Vargas-Matos G.L., Matos, R. and Teixeira, W. 2009. Estudos petrográficos e isotópicos do Depósito Aurífero de Puquio Norte (sudeste da Bolivia), sudoeste do Cráton Amazonico *In*: Rizzotto G., Quadros M.L. do E.S., Vasquez M.L. (eds). Contribuições à Geologia da Amazonia, 6, Porto Velho, 85-98.

Giovanardi, T., Girardi, V.A.V., Teixeira, W. and Mazzucchelli, M. 2019. Mafic dyke swarms at 1882, 535 and 200 Ma in the Carajás region, Amazonian Craton: SrNd isotopy, trace element geochemistry and inferences on their origin and geological settings. *Journal of South America Earth Science* **92**, 197–208.

Grainger, C.J., Groves, D.I., Tallarico, F.H.B. and Fletcher I.R. 2008. Metallogenesis of the Carajás Mineral Province, southern Amazon Craton, Brazil: Varying styles of Archean through Paleoproterozoic to Neoproterozoic baseando precious-metal mineralization. *Ore Geology Reviews* **33**, 451-489.

Guimarães, S. B. and Klein, E. L. 2020. Geochemical and isotopic constraints on the host rocks of the magmatic-hydrothermal Coringa gold-silver (Cu–Pb–Zn) deposit of the Tapajos mineral province, Amazonian Craton, Brazil. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* **103**, 20 p.

Guimarães S.B., Klein E.L., Harris C., Costa I.S.L. 2021. Metallogenesis of the Orosirian epithermal Coringa gold-silver (Cu-Pb-Zn) deposit, Southeastern Tapajós Mineral Province, Amazonian craton, Brazil, *Ore Geology Reviews*. **128**, DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oregeorev.2020.103908

Halls, H.C., Hamilton, M.A. and Denyszyn, S.W., 2011. The Melville Bugt dyke swarm of Greenland: A connection to the 1.5–1.6 Ga Fennoscandian Rapakivi Granite Province? *In*: R.K. Srivastava (ed), Dyke Swarms: Keys for Geodynamic Interpretation. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 509–535.

Hamilton, M. A. and Buchan, K. L. 2010. U–Pb geochronology of the Western Channel Diabase, northwestern Laurentia: Implications for a large 1.59 Ga magmatic province, Laurentia's APWP and paleocontinental reconstructions of Laurentia, Baltica and Gawler craton of southern Austrália. *Precambrian Research* **183**, 463–473.

Heaman, L.M., Easton, R.M., Hart, T.R., Hollings, P., MacDonald, C.A., Smyk, M., 2007. Further refinement of the timing of Mesoproterozoic magmatism, Lake Nipigon region, Ontario. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, **44**, 1055-1086. DOI: 10.1139/E06-117.

Heinonen, A.P., Fraga, L.M., Rämö O.T., Dall´Agnol R., Mänttäri, I., Andersen T. 2012. Petrogenesis of the igneous Mucajaí AMG Complex, northern Amazonian craton, U-Pb geochronological, and Nd-Hf-O isotopic constraints. *Lithos*, 17-34. DOI: 10.1016/j.lithos.2011.07.016

Ibañez-Mejia, M., Ruiz, J., Valencia, V.A., Cardona, A., Gehrels, G.E. and Mora, A.R. 2011. The Putumayo Orogen of Amazonia and its implications for Rodinia reconstructions: New U-Pb geochronological insights into the Proterozoic tectonic evolution of northwestern South America. *Precambrian Research* **191**, 58-77.

Irving, E., Donaldson, J.A. and Park, J.K. 1972. Palaeomagnetism of the Western Channel diabase and associated rocks. Northwest Territories. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences* **9**, 960-971.

Irving, E., Baker, J., Hamilton, M. and Wynne, P.J. 2004. Early Proterozoic geomagnetic field in western Laurentia: implications for paleolatitudes, local rotations and stratigraphy. *Precambrian Research* **129**, 251-270.

Isla-Moreno, L. 2009. Distrito Don Mario, un deposito de Au-Cu hidrotermal asociado a zonas de cizalla, presented at Congreso Geológico de Bolivia, 18, Potosi, Bolívia.

Johansson, A. 2009, Baltica, Amazonia and the SAMBA connection—1000 million years of neighbourhood during the Proterozoic? *Precambrian Research* **175**, 221–234.
Johansson, A. 2014. From Rodinia to Gondwana with the 'SAMBA' model – a distant view from Baltica towards Amazonia and beyond. *Precambrian Research* **244**, 226-235.
Jowitt, S.M. and Ernst, R.E. 2013. Geochemical assessment of the metallogenic potential of Proterozoic LIPs of Canada. *Lithos* **174**, 291-307.
Juliani, C., Rye, R.O. *et al*. 2005. Paleoproterozoic high-sulfidation mineralization in the Tapajós Gold Province, Amazonian Craton, Brazil: geology, mineralogy, alunite argon age and stable-isotope constraints. *Chemical Geology* **215**, 95-125.
Juliani, C. and Fernandes, C.M.D. 2010. Well-preserved Late Paleoproterozoic volcanic centers in the São Félix do Xingu region, Amazonian Craton, Brazil. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* **191**(3-4), 167-179. DOI:10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2010.01.016
Juliani, C., Carneiro, C.C., Carreiro-Araújo, S.A., Fernandes, C.M.D., Monteiro, L.V.S., Crosta, A.P. 2013. Estruturação dos arcos magmáticos paleoproterozoicos na porção sul do Craton Amazônico: implicações geotectônicas e metalogenéticas, presented at Simpósio de Geologia da Amazônia, 13, Belém. 4p.
Juliani, C., Vasquez, M.L., Klein, E.L., Villas, R.N.N., Echeverri-Misas, C.M., Santiago, E.S.B., Monteiro, L.V.S., Carneiro, C. de C., Fernandes, C.M.D., Usero, G. 2014. Metalogênese da Província Tapajós. 229-268.
Kasbohm, J., Schoene, B., Burgess, S. 2020. Radiometric constraints on the timing, tempo, and effects of large igneous province emplacement. In: Ernst, R.E., Dickson, A.J., Bekker, A. (eds.) Large Igneous Provinces: A Driver of Global Environmental and Biotic Changes. AGU Geophysical Monograph 255 (in press).
Klein, E.L. and Carvalho, J.M.A. 2008. Recursos Minerais. *In*: Vasquez, M.L., Rosa-Costa, L.T. (eds), Geologia e Recursos Minerais do Estado do Pará: Sistema de Informações Geográficas e SIG: texto explicativo dos mapas Geológico e Tectônico e de Recursos Minerais do Estado do Pará. Escala 1:1.000.000. CPRM e Serviço Geológico do Brasil, Belém, p. 217-262. DOI: http://rigeo.cprm.gov.br/jspui/handle/doc/10443
Klein E.L., Almeida M.E. and Rosa-Costa L.T. 2012. The 1.89-1.87 Ga Uatumã Silicic Large Igneous Province, northern South America. November 2012 LIP of the Month. DOI: http://www.largeigneousprovinces.org/12nov.
Klein, E.L., Rodrigues, J.B., Queiroz, J.D.S., Oliveira, R.G., Guimarães, S.B. and Chaves, C.L. 2016. Deposition and tectonic setting of the Palaeoproterozoic Castelo de Sonhos metasedimentary formation, Tapajós Gold Province, Amazonian Craton, Brazil: age and isotopic constraints. *International Geology Review*, **59**(7), 864-883. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/00206814.2016.1237311
Kroonenberg, S.B. and de Roever E.W.F. 2010. Geological Evolution of The Amazonian Craton. *In*: C. Hoorn and F.P. Wesselingh (eds). Tectonic processes as driving mechanisms for palaeogeographical and palaeoenvironmental evolution in Amazonia, 1st ed., Blackwell Publishing, 9-28.
Kroonenberg, S.B., de Roever, E.W.F., Fraga, L.M., Reis, N.J., Faraco, T., Lafon, J.M., Cordani, U. and Wong, T.E. 2016. Paleoproterozoic evolution of the Guiana Shield in Suriname: A revised model. Netherlands Journal of

Geosciences, *Geologie en Mijnbouw* **95**(4), 491–522. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1017/njg.2016.10.

Lamarão, C.N., Dall'Agnol, R., Lafon, J.M. and Lima, E.F. 2002. Geology, Geochemistry, and Pb-Pb zircon Geochronology of the Paleoproterozoic magmatism of Vila Riozinho, Tapajós Gold Province, Amazonian Craton, Brazil. *Precambrian Research* **119**, 189-223. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-9268(02)00123-7

Lamarão, C.N., Dall'Agnol, R. and Pimentel, M.M. 2005. Nd isotopic composition of Paleoproterozoic volcanic and granitoid rocks of Vila Riozinho: implications of the crustal evolution of the Tapajós gold province, Amazon craton. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences*, **18**, 277-292.

Lamarão, C.N., Souza, K.S.de, Dall'Agnol, R., Galarza, M.A. 2008. Granitos pórfiros da região de vila Riozinho, província aurífera do Tapajós: petrografia e geocronologia. *Revista Brasileira de Geociências* **38**(3), 533-543. DOI: 10.25249/0375-7536.2008383533543

Landivar, G., Roca, J., Carvajal, R. and Barroso, E. 1996. Mapa Geológico Serranias SanJosé – San Diablo. Servicio Nacional de Geología y Minería, SERGEOMIN. 1 sheet (1: 250,000 scale).

Leite Junior W.B. 2002. A Suíte Intrusiva Santa Clara (RO) e a mineralização primária polimetálica (Sn, W, Nb, Ta, Zn, Cu e Pb) associada. PhD Thesis. Instituto de Geociências, Universidade de São Paulo, 337 p.

Lenharo S.L.R. 1998. Evolução magmática e modelo metalogenético dos granitos mineralizados da região de Pitinga, Amazonas, Brasil. Universidade de São Paulo, PhD Thesis, Escola Politécnica, Departamento de Engenharia de Minas, São Paulo, 290 p.

Lenharo, S.L.R., Pollard, P.J. and Born, H. 2003. Petrology and textural evolution of granites associated with tin and rare-metals mineralization at the Pitinga mine, Amazonas, Brazil. *Lithos* **66**, 37-61.

Li, Z.X., Bogdanova, S.V. *et al*. 2008. Assembly, configuration, and break-up history of Rodinia: A synthesis. *Precambrian Research* **160**, 179-210.

Lima, G.A., Sousa, M.Z.A., Ruiz, A.S. and Sécolo, D.B. 2011. Enxame de Diques e Sills Máficos da Suíte Intrusiva Huanchaca: evidências da ruptura do Supercontinente Rodínia no SW do Craton Amazônico, presented at Simpósio Nacional de Estudos Tectônicos - SNET, 8, Campinas.

Lima, G.A., Sousa, M.Z.A., Ruiz, A.S., D'agrella Filho, M.S. and Vasconcelos, P. 2012. Sills máficos da Suíte Intrusiva Huanchaca – SW do Cráton Amazônico: registro de magmatismo fissural relacionado à ruptura do Supercontinente Rodínia. *Revista Brasileira de Geociências* **42**, 111–129.

Lima, I.F., Pierosan, R., Barros M.A.S., Rubert R.R., Sommer C.A. and Okuno, D.I.A. 2021 - The 1.88 Ga Uatumã Magmatism in the Serra dos Magalhães region: petrology and implications to the ectension of the south-eastern edge of the Amazonian Craton. Brazilian Journal of Geology **51**(1), 1-21. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1590/2317-4889202120200046

Lindenmayer, Z. G., Fleck, A. *et al*. 2005, Caracterização geológica do alvo Estrela (Cu-Au), Serra dos Carajas. *In*: Marini O. J., Queiroz E. T., Ramos B. W.(eds) Caracterização de Depósitos Minerais em Distritos Mineiros da Amazônia, DNPM/ADIMB, Brasília,137, 205 p.

Lira R.R.C., Lopes P.R.S. 2020. Carta Geológica-Geofísica Folha Boa Vista - NA.20-X-D-II. Manaus: CPRM, 2020. 1 mapa Color., Escala 1:100.000. Projeto Centro-Sudeste de Roraima. Ação Levantamento Geológico e de Potencial Novas

Fronteiras. Programa de Geologia, Mineração e Transformação Mineral. DOI: http://rigeo.cprm.gov.br/jspui/handle/doc/20483
Litherland, M., Annels, R.N. *et al*. 1986. The geology and mineral resources of the Bolivian Precambrian shield. Overseas Memoir, *British Geological Survey Paper* **9**, 154 p.
Litherland, M. and Power, P.E.J. 1989. The geologic and geomorphologic evolution of Serrania Huanchaca, eastern Bolivia: the legendary "Lost World". *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* **2**(1), 1–17.
Lopes, A.A.C. and Moura, M.A. 2019. The Tocantinzinho Paleoproterozoic Porphyry-Style Gold Deposit, Tapajós Mineral Province (Brazil): Geology, Petrology and Fluid Inclusion Evidence for Ore-Forming Processes. *Minerals*, **9**(29), 33p. DOI: 10.3390/min9010029
Lubnina, N.V., Stepanova, A.V., Ernst, R.E., Nilsson, M. and Söderlund, U. 2016. New U–Pb baddeleyite age, and AMS and paleomagnetic data for dolerites in the Lake Onega region belonging to the 1.98–1.95 Ga regional Pechenga-Onega Large Igneous Province. GFF, Journal of the Geological Society of Sweden **138**, 54-78.
Macambira, M.J.B., Barros, C.E.M.; Silva, D.C.C. and Santos M.C.C. 2001. Idades de cristais detríticos de zircão da Serra dos Carajás, Pará: evidências sobre a formação da crosta, presented at Simpósio de Geologia da Amazônia, 7, Belém.
Macambira, M.J.B., Teixeira, W. and Vaquez, M.L., 2020. O Cráton Amazônico e suas províncias geocronológicas: o legado de Umberto Cordani. *In*: Bartorelli, A., Teixeira, W., Brito Neves, B.B. (orgs) Geocronologia e Evolução Tectônica do Continente Sul-Americano: a Contribuição de Umberto Giuseppe Cordani. 1ª edição. São Paulo, Solares Edições Culturais. 47-62.
Machado, N., Lindenmayer, Z., Krogh, T.E. and Lindenmayer, D. 1991. U–Pb geochronology of Archean magmatism and basement reactivation in the Carajás area, Amazon Shield, Brazil. *Precambrian Research* **49**, 329–354.
Meert, J.G. 2012. What's the name? The Columbia (Paleopangea/Nuna) supercontinent. *Gondwana Research* **21**, 987-993.
Mendoza, V.S., Moreno, L., Barrios, F., Rivas, D., Martinez, J., Lira, P., Sardi, G. and Ghosh, S.K. 1977. Geología de la Parte Norte del Territorio Federal Amazonas, presented at Congreso Geología Venezolano, 5, Caracas, 363-392.
Mendoza, V.S. 2000. Evolución Geotectónica y Recursos Minerales del Escudo de Guayana en Venezuela (y su relación com el Escudo Sudamericano). *In*: Mineria Hecla Venezolana (ed.); Ciudad Bolívar, 184 p.
Mertanen, S. and Pesonen, L.J. 1995. Palaeomagnetic and rock magnetic investigations of the Sipoo Sub-jotnian quartz porphyry and diabase dykes, southern Fennoscandia. *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* **88**, 145-175.
Miguel Jr., E. 2011. Controle Estrutural das mineralizações auríferas e idades U-Pb das rochas encaixantes ao longo do Lineamento Peru-Trairão: Província Aurífera de Alta Floresta, Mato Grosso. Msc Thesis, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brasil, 66 p.
Mitchell, W.I., 1979. La geología y potencial de minerales del área de Santo Corazón– Rincón del Tigre (Cuadrantes SE 21-5, con parte de SE 21-9 y SE 21-6 con partede SE 21-10). British Geological Survey – Servicio Geológico de Bolivia (1 map), Santa Cruz de la Sierra, 131 p.

Moreto, C.P.N., Monteiro, L.V.S. *et al*. 2015. Timing of multiple hydrothermal events in the iron oxide–copper–gold deposits of the Southern Copper Belt Carajás Province, Brazil. *Mineralium* Deposita **50**, 517–546.

Mudd, G.M., 2010. Global trends and environmental issues in nickel mining: sulfides versus laterites: Ore *Geology Reviews*, **38**, 9-26.

Mudd, G.M. 2012. Key trends in the resource sustainability of platinum group elements: Ore Geology Reviews, **46**, 106-117.

Nadeau, S. and Heesterman, L. 2010. Guyana Geology and Mines Commission, Geological Map Of Guyana - Scale 1:1 million. Guyana Geology and Mines Commission. DOI: https://www.ggmc.gov.gy/services/all/geological-services

Nadeau, S., Chen, W., Reece, J., Lachhman, D., Ault, R., Faraco, M.T.L. de, Fraga, L.M., Reis, N.J. and Betiollo, L.M. 2013. Guyana: the Lost Hadean Crust of South America? *Brazilian Journal of Geology* **43**, 601–606.

Neuvonen, K.J., 1986. On the direction of remanent magnetization of the quartz pophyry dykes in SE Finland. *Bulletin of the Geological Society of Finland* **58**, 195-201.

Nironen, M., Elliott, B.A., Rämo, O.T. 2000. 1.88–1.87 Ga post-kinematic intrusions of the Central Finland Granitoid Complex: a shift from C-type to A-type magmatism during lithospheric convergence. *Lithos* **53**, 37–58.

Nomade, S., Chen, Y., Pouclet, A., Féraud, G., Théveniaut, H., Daouda, B.Y., Vidal, M. and Rigolet, C. 2003. The Guiana and West African Shield Palaeoproterozoic grouping: new palaeomagnetic data for French Guiana and Ivory Coast. *Geophysical Journal International* **154**, 677-694.

Oliveira A.C. da S., Almeida M.E. 2019. Faixa Deformacional Roosevelt-Guariba, presented at Simpósio Nacional de Estudos Tectônicos - SNET, 16, maio, Bento Gonçalves. 31p.

Oliveira, H.T., Borges, R.M.K., Klein, E.L., Lamarão, C.N., Marques, G.T. and Lima, R.G.C. 2019. Alteração hidrotermal e fluidos mineralizantes no alvo Jerimum de Baixo, Campo Mineralizado do Cuiú-Cuiú, Província Aurífera do Tapajós: um estudo baseado em petrografia, inclusões fluidas e química mineral, *Revista do Instituto de Geociências* **19**(1), 30 p.

Onstott, T.C. and Hargraves R.B. 1981. Proterozoic transcurrent tectonics: palaeomagnetic evidence from Venezuela and Africa. *Nature* **289**, 131-136.

Park, J.K., Inving, E. and Donaldson, J.A. 1973. Paleomagnetism of the Precambrian Dubawnt Group. *Geological Society of American Bulletin* **103**, 522–537.

Payolla, B.L., Bettencourt, J.S., Kozuch, M., Leite, W.B., Fetter, A.H. and Van Schmus, W.R. 2002. Geological evolution of the basement rocks in the east-central part of the Rondônia Tin Province, SW Amazonian Craton, Brazil: U–Pb and Sm–Nd isotopic constraints. *Precambrian Research* **119**, 141–169.

Pehrsson, S.J., Eglington, B.M., Evans, D.A.D, Huston, D. and Reddy, S.M., 2016. Metallogeny and its link to orogenic style during the Nuna supercontinent cycle. *In*: Li, Z. X., Evans, D. A. D., Murphy, J. B. (eds) Supercontinent Cycles Through Earth History. *Geological Society, London, Special Publications* **424**, 83-94.

Peng, P. 2015. Precambrian mafic dyke swarms in the North China Craton and their geological implications. *Sci China Earth Sci* **58,** 649–675.

Peng, P., Bleeker, W., Ernst, R.E., Söderlund, U. and McNicoll, V. 2011. U–Pb baddeleyite ages, distribution and geochemistry of 925Ma mafic dykes and 900Ma sills in the North China craton: Evidence for a Neoproterozoic mantle plume. *Lithos* **127**, 210-221.

Pinheiro, R.S.C. 2019. Evolução paragenética e regime de fluidos no sistema Cu-Co Tarzan, Província Carajás. MSc Thesis, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, São Paulo, Brasil, 120 p.

Pinho, M.A.S.R., Chemale Jr., F., Van Schmus, W.R. and Pinho, F.E.C. 2003. U-Pb and Sm-Nd evidence for 1.76-1.77 Ga magmatism in the Moriru region, Mato Grosso, Brazil: implications for province boundaries in the SW Amazonian Craton. *Precambrian Research* **126**, 1-25.

Pinho, S.C.C., Fernandes, C.M.D., Teixeira, N.P., Paiva Junior, A.L., Cruz, V.L., Lamarão, C.N. and Moura, C.A.V. 2006. O magmatismo paleoproterozóico da região de São Félix do Xingu, Província Estanífera do Sul do Pará: Petrografia e Geocronologia. *Revista Brasileira de Geociências*, **36**(4): 724-732.

Pisarevsky, S.A. and Sokolov, S.J. 2001. The magnetostratigraphy and a 1780 Ma palaeomagnetic pole from the red sandstones of Vazhinka River section, Karelia, Russia. *Geophysical Journal International* **146**, 531–538.

Pisarevsky, S. A. and Bylund, G. 2010. Paleomagnetism of 1780–1770 Ma mafic and composite intrusions of Småland (Sweden): Implications for the Mesoproterozoic Supercontinent. *American Journal of Science* **310**, 1168–1186.

Pisarevsky, S.A., Elming, S.Å., Pesonen, L.J. and Li, Z.X. 2014. Mesoproterozoic paleogeography: Supercontinent and beyond. *Precambrian Research* **244**, 207–225.

Pollard, P.J., Taylor, R.G., Peters, L., Matos, F., Freitas, C., Saboia, L. and Huhn, S. 2018. $^{40}Ar/^{39}Ar$ dating of Archean iron oxide Cu-Au and Paleoproterozoic granite-related Cu-Au deposits in the Carajas Mineral Province, Brazil: implications for genetic models. *Mineralium Deposita* **54**, 329-346.

Prendergast, M., Bennett, M. and Henicke, O. 1998. Platinum Exploration in the Rincon del Tigre Complex, Eastern Bolivia, ROYAUME-UNI. Institution of Mining and Metallurgy, B39–B47.

Prendergast, M.D. 2000. Layering and precious metals mineralization in the Rincón del Tigre Complex, Eastern Bolivia. *Economic Geology* **95**, 113–130, DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.2113/gsecongeo.95.1.113.

Queiroz, J.D. da S. and Klein, E.L. 2018. The Paleoproterozoic metaconglomerate-hosted Castelo de Sonhos gold deposit, Tapajós Gold Province, Amazonian Craton: a modified paleoplacer origin. *Journal of the Geological Survey of Brazil*, **1**(2), 81-99. DOI: https://doi.org/10.29396/jgsb.2018.v1.n2.3

Reis, N.J. and Carvalho, A. de S. 1996. Coberturas sedimentares do Mesoproterozóico do Estado de Roraima. Avaliação e Discussão de seu Modo de Ocorrência. *Revista Brasileira de Geociências*, **26** (4), 217-226.

Reis, N.J. and Yánez, G. 2001. O Supergrupo Roraima ao longo da Faixa Fronteiriça entre Brasil e Venezuela (Santa Elena de Uairén - Monte Roraima). *In*: Reis N.J., Monteiro M.A.S. (eds). *Contribuições à Geologia da Amazônia*, 2, Manaus, p. 115-147.

Reis, N.J., Teixeira, W., Hamilton, M.A., Bispo-Santos, F., Almeida, M.E. and D'Agrella-Filho, M.S. 2013a. Avanavero Mafic Magmatism, a Late Paleoproterozoic LIP in the Guiana Shield, Amazonian Craton: U–Pb ID-TIMS baddeleyite, Geochemical and Paleomagnetic Evidence. *Lithos* **174**: 175-195.

Reis N.J., Bahia R.C. *et al*. 2013b. O Supergrupo Sumaúma no Contexto Geológico da Folha SB.20-Z-D (Sumaúma), Sudeste do Amazonas: modo de ocorrência, discussão de idades em zircões detríticos e correlações no SW do Cráton do Amazonas. *In*: Wankler F.L., Holanda E.C., Vasquez M.L. (eds). Contribuições à Geologia da Amazônia, 8, Belém, 197-220.

Reis N.J., Fraga L.M.B. and Almeida M.E. 2014. Programa Geologia do Brasil, Levantamento da Geodiversidade. Geodiversidade do Estado de Roraima. *In*: Holanda J.L.R., Marmos J.L., Maia M.A.M. (orgs), *Arcabouço Geológico*, CPRM – Serviço Geológico do Brasil, 17-32. DOI: http://rigeo.cprm.gov.br/jspui/handle/doc/14711

Reis N.J. and Ramos M.N. 2017. Programa Geologia do Brasil. Programa Cartografia da Amazônia. Geologia e Recursos Minerais da Folha Ilha de Maracá, NA.20-X-A. Escala 1:250.000. Estado de Roraima. Projeto Ilha de Maracá. Manaus, Explanatory Note, 95 p. DOI: http://rigeo.cprm.gov.br/jspui/handle/doc/21205

Reis, N.J., Nadeau, S. *et al*. 2017a. Stratigraphy of the Roraima Supergroup along the Brazil-Guyana border in the Guiana shield, Northern Amazonian Craton – results of the Brazil-Guyana Geology and Geodiversity Mapping Project. *Brazilian Journal of Geology* **47**(1), 43-57. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1590/2317-4889201720160139

Reis N.J., Oliveira A.C. de, Oliveira A.A. de and Bahia R.B.C. 2017b. Programa Geologia do Brasil. Programa Cartografia da Amazônia. Geologia e Recursos Minerais da Folha Mutum, SB.20-Z-B, Escala 1:250.000. Estado do Amazonas. Superintendência Regional de Manaus, 48 p. DOI: http://rigeo.cprm.gov.br/jspui/handle/doc/17804

Reis, N.J., Cordani, U., Goulart, L.E.A., Almeida, M.E., Oliveira, V., Maurer, V.C., Wahnfried, I. 2021. Zircon U-Pb SHRIMP ages of the Demêni-Mocidade Domain, Roraima, southern Guiana Shield, Brazil: extension of the Uatumã Silicic Large Igneous Province. *Journal of the Geological Survey of Brazil* **4**(1), 61-76. DOI: https://doi.org/10.29396/jgsb.2021.v4.n1.4

Renaud, J.A. 2014, The Aricheng Basement-Hosted Albitite-Type Uranium Deposit, Roraima Basin, Co-Operative Republic Of Guyana, South America: PhD Thesis. University of Western Ontario.

Ribeiro, B.V., Cawood, P.A. *et al*. 2020. A long-lived active margin revealed by zircon U-Pb-Hf data from the Rio Apa Terrane (Brazil): new insights into the Paleoproterozoic evolution of the Amazonian Craton. *Precambrian Research* DOI:105919-000.

Ribeiro, P.S.E., Duarte, T.B. 2010. Geologia e Recursos Minerais das folhas Rio Guariba e Rio Aripuana. Projeto Noroeste-Nordeste de Mato Grosso; Programa Geologia do Brasil - PGB. CPRM, Goiania, 248 p. DOI: http://rigeo.cprm.gov.br/jspui/handle/doc/11355

Rios, F. S. 2019. O Depósito de Au (Cu-Ag) Serrinha de Guarantã, Cráton Amazônico, Brasil: um depósito aurífero não-convencional associado ao sistema pórfiro - epitermal paleoproterozoico Juruena Teles Pires, MSc Thesis, Instituto de Geociências, Universidade de Brasília - UnB, Brasília, 137 p.

Rios, F.S., Alves, C. L., Rizzotto, G. J. and Duarte, T. B. 2019. Recursos Minerais. In: Alves C. L., Rizzotto G. J., Rios F.S., Gonçalves G. F. (orgs) Áreas de relevante interesse mineral - Projeto Evolução Crustal e Metalogenia da Província Mineral Juruena-Teles Pires, Estado do Mato Grosso. SGB/CPRM, Goiânia, 226 p. DOI: http://rigeo.cprm.gov.br/jspui/handle/doc/21324

Rizzotto, G.J., Quadros, M.L.E.S., Bahia, R.B.C., Dall`Igna, L.G. and Cordeiro, A.V. 2004. Folha SC.20-Porto Velho. *In*: Schobbenhaus C., Gonçalves J.H., Santos J.O.S., Abram M.B., Leão Neto R., Matos M.M., Vidotti R.M., Ramos M.A.B. (eds.). Carta Geológica do Brasil ao Milionésimo, SIG, Programa Geologia do Brasil. CPRM, Brasília. DOI: http://rigeo.cprm.gov.br/jspui/handle/doc/4981

Rizzotto, G.J., Alves, C.L., Rios, F.S. and Barros, M.A.S. 2019. The Western Amazonia Igneous Belt. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* 96, 12 p. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsames.2019.102326

Rocha, M.L.B.P, Chemale Junior, F. *et al*. 2020. U-Th-Pb Shrimp dating of hydrothermal monazite from the Trairão Gold Deposit – Alta Floresta Gold Province (Amazon Craton), Brazilian Journal Geology **50**(1),13 p.

Sadowsky, G.R. and Bettencourt, J.S. 1996. Neoproterozoic tectonic correlations between east Laurentia and the western border of the Amazon Craton. *Precambrian Research* **76**, 213-227.

Salminen, J.M., Klein, R., Mertanen, S., Pesonen, L.J., Fröjdö, S., Mänttäri, I. and Eklund, O. 2016. Palaeomagnetism and U-Pb geochronology of ca. 1570 Ma intrusives from Åland archipelago, SW Finland implications for Nuna. In: Li, Z. X, Evans, D.A.D. and Murphy, J.B. (eds): Supercontinent Cycles Through Earth History. *Geological Society, London Special Publications* **424**, 95-118.

Salminen, J.M, Klein, R., Veikkolainen, T., Mertanen, S. and Mänttäri, I. 2017. Mesoproterozoic geomagnetic reversal asymmetry in light of new paleomagnetic and geochronological data for the Häme dyke swarm, Finland: Implications for the Nunasupercontinent. *Precambrian Research* **288**, 1-22.

Samal, A.K., Srivastava, R.K., Ernst, R.E., Söderlund, U. 2019. Mapping and naming of distinct Neoarchean-Mesoproterozoic mafic dyke swarms of the Indian Shield using Google™ Earth images and ArcGIS™ and their possible association to Large Igneous Provinces: current status and future prospects. In: Srivastava, R.K., Ernst, R.E., Peng, P. (eds.) Dyke Swarms of the World – A Modern Perspective. Springer, p. 335-390.

Santiago, E.S.B., Villas, R.N., Ocampo, R.C. 2013. The Tocantinzinho gold deposit, Tapajós province, state of Pará: host granite, hydrothermal alteration and mineral chemistry. *Brazilian Journal of Geology*, **43**(1), 185-208.

Santos, A.C., Geraldes, M.C., Santos, W.H. and Ferreira L.O. 2018. O Filão Paraíba e a Província Aurífera de Alta Floresta (SW do Cráton Amazônico): Dados petrográficos e isotópicos de Pb e S em pirita do veio mineralizado, *Anuário do Instituto de Geociências da UFRJ* **41**(3), 690-701.

Santos, F.S., Pierosan, R., Barros, M.A.S., Geraldes, M.C. and Lima, M.F. 2017. Petrology of the Colider Group volcanic successions in the northernmost Mato Grosso, Brazil: A contribution to the knowledge of the felsic volcanism of the Alta Floresta Gold Province. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences*, **89**, 10-29. DOI: https: //doi.org/10.1016/j.jsames.2018.10.007

Santos, J.O.S., Hartmann, L.A., Gaudette, H.E, Groves, D.I., McNaughton, N.J. and Flecher, I.R. 2000. New understanding of the Amazon Craton provinces, based on on Integration of Field Mapping and U-Pb and Sm-Nd Geochronology, *Gondwana Research* **3**(4), 453-488.

Santos, J.O.S, Hartmann, L.A., McNaughton, N.J. and Fletcher, I.R. 2001. Timing of mafic magmatism in the Tapajós Province (Brazil) and implications for the evolution of the Amazon Craton - Evidence from baddeleyite and zircon U-Pb SHRIMP geochronology *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* **15**, 409-429.

Santos, J.O.S. Hartmann, L.A., McNaughton, N.J. and Fletcher, I.R. 2002. Timing of mafic magmatism in the Tapajós Province (Brazil) and implications for the evolution of the Amazon Craton: evidence from baddeleyite and zircon U-Pb SHRIMP geochronology. *Journal of South America Earth Sciences*, **15**, 409-429.

Santos, J.O.S., Reis, N.J., Chemale, F., Hartmann, L.A, Pinheiro, S. da S. and McNaughton N.J. 2003a. Paleoproterozoic Evolution of Northwestern Roraima

State – Absence of Archean Crust, based on U-Pb and Sm-Nd isotopic evidence, presented at South American Symposium on Isotope Geology, Short Papers, 278-281.

Santos, J.O.S., Potter, P.E., Reis, N.J., Hartmann, L.A, Fletcher, I.R., McNaughton, N.J. 2003b. Age, source and Regional Stratigraphy of the Roraima Supergroup and Roraima-like Sequences in Northern South América, based on U-Pb Geochronology. *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, **115** (3), 331-348. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606.

Santos, J.O.S. 2003. Geotectônica dos Escudos das Guianas e Brasil Central. *In*: Bizzi L.A., Schobbenhaus C., Vidotti R.M., Gonçalves J.H. (eds) Geologia, Tectônica e Recursos Minerais do Brasil: texto, mapas e SIG. CPRM - Serviço Geológico do Brasil. Cap. 4, 169-226.

Santos, J.O.S., Van Breemen, O.B., Groves, D.I., Hartmann, L.A., Almeida, M.E., McNaughton, N.J. and Fletcher, I.R. 2004. Timing and evolution of multiple Paleoproterozoic magmatic arcs in the Tapajós Domain, Amazon Craton: constraints from SHRIMP and TIMS zircon, baddeleyite and titanite U-Pb geochronology. *Precambrian Research* **131** (1/2), 73-109.

Santos, J.O.S., McNaughton, N.J., Hartmann, L.A., Fletcher, I.R. and Matos, R.S., 2005. The age of deposition of the Aguapeí Group, Western Amazon Craton, based on U–Pb study of diagenetic xenotime and detrital zircon, presented at Congreso Latino-americano de Geologia, 12, Quito, Ecuador.

Santos, J.O.S., Hartmann, L.A., Faria, M.S.G. de, Riker, S.R.L., Souza, M.M. de, Almeida, M.E. and McNaughton, N.J. 2006. A Compartimentação do Cráton Amazonas em Províncias: Avanços ocorridos no período 2000-2006, presented at Simpósio de Geologia da Amazônia, 9, Belém. 4 p.

Scandolara, J.E., Correa, R.T. *et al*. 2017. Paleo-Mesoproterozoic arc-accretion along the southwestern margin of the Amazonian craton: the Juruena accretionary orogen and possible implications for Columbia supercontinente. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* **73**, 223–247.

Schwarz, M. and Frantz, J. 2013. Cu-Zn Pojuca Corpo Quatro Deposit: IOCG or VMS?. *Pesquisas em Geociências* **40**, 5-19.

Semblano, F.R.D., Pereira, N.C.S., Vasquez, M.L. and Macambira M.J.B. 2016. Novos dados geológicos e isotópicos para o Domínio Iriri-Xingu, Província Amazônia Central; implicações para a idade do Grupo Iriri. *Revista do Instituto de Geociências - USP*, *Série Científica*, **16**(3), 19-38. DOI: https: //doi.org/10.11606/issn.2316-9095.v16i3

Serrato, A.A.A. 2014. Geocronologia e evolução do sistema hidrotermal do depósito aurífero de Juruena, Província Aurífera de Alta Floresta (MT), Brasil. Msc Thesis, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brasil, 83 p.

Shumlyanskyy, L., Ernst, R., Söderlund, U., Billström, K., Mitrokhin, O. and Tsymbal, S. 2016a. New U-Pb ages for mafic dykes in the Northwestern region of the Ukrainian shield: coeval tholeiitic and jotunitic magmatism. GFF, Journal of the Geological Society of Sweden **138**, 79–85.

Shumlyanskyy, L., Mitrokhin, O., Billström, K., Ernst, R., Vishnevska, E., Tsymbal, S., Cuney, M. and Soesoo, A. 2016b. The ca. 1.8 Ga mantle plume related magmatism of the central part of the Ukrainian shield. GFF, Journal of the Geological Society of Sweden **138**, 86–101.

Shumlyanskyy, L., R.E. Ernst, K. Billstrom, B.A. Wing, A. Bekker 2016c. Age and sulfur isotope composition of the Prutivka intrusion (the 1.78 Ga Prutivka-

Novogol Large Igneous Province in Sarmatia). *Mineralogical Journal: Geochemistry* (Ukraine), **38**(3), 91-101.

Shumlyanskyy, L., Hawkesworth, C. et al. 2017. The origin of the Palaeoproterozoic AMCG complexes in the Ukrainian Shield: new U-Pb ages and Hf isotopes in zircon. *Precambrian Research* **292**, 216–239.

Sidder, G.B. and Mendoza, S.V. 1991. Geology of the Venezuelan Guyana Shield and its Relation to the Entire Guyana Shield. USGS Open-File Report 91-0141, 59 p.

Silva Jr., C.A.S., Klein, E.L., Galarza, M.A., Borges, R.M.K., Queiroz, J.D.S., Assunção, F.S.R., Araújo, A.C.S. and Moore, D.J. 2015. Zircon geochronology and Pb isotope systematics in sulfides: implications for the genesis of gold mineralization in the Cuiú-Cuiú Goldfield, Tapajós Gold Province, Amazonian Craton, Brazil. *In*: Gorayaeb P.S.S., Lima A. M.M. (eds). Contribuições à Geologia da Amazônia, 9, 453-465. Belém.

Silva Jr., C.A.S. and Klein, E.L. 2016. Geology and characteristics of the mineralizing fluid in the Jerimum de Cima and Babi gold prospects, Cuiú-Cuiú goldfield, Tapajós Gold Province, Amazonian Craton, a fluid inclusion and stable isotope study. *Boletim do Museu Paraense Emílio Goeldi*, Belém, **10**(2), 199-230.

Silva, M.G. and Abram, M.B. 2008. Projeto Metalogenia da Província Aurífera Juruena-Teles Pires, Mato Grosso. *In*: M.G. Silva, M.B. Abram (orgs), Geological Service of Brazil - CPRM, 212 p. DOI: http://rigeo.cprm.gov.br/jspui/handle/doc/1745

Silver, P.G. and Behn, D. 2008. Intermittent plate tectonics. *Science* **319**, 85-88.

Simões M.S., Meloni R.E., Santos J.O.S. 2020. Stratigraphy, depositional environments and zircon U-Pb (LA-ICP-MS) ages of the Statherian volcano-sedimentary Beneficente Group: Implications for tectonics and gold mineralization in SW of the Amazon Craton. *Precambrian Research* 345, 1-23. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.precamres.2020.105756

Sparrenberger, I., 2003. Evolução da mineralização primária estanífera associada ao maciço granítico Santa Bárbara, Rondônia, Ph.D. Thesis. Instituto de Geociências, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil, 327 p.

Stein, C.A., Stein, S., Merino, M., Keller, G.R., Flesch, L.M. and Jurdy, D.M. 2014. Was the Midcontinent Rift part of a successful seaflor-spreading episode? *Geophysical Research Letters* **41**, 1465-1470.

Tallarico, F.H.B. 2003. O Cinturão Cupro-Aurífero de Carajás, Brasil. PhD Thesis, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, 229 p.

Tallarico, F.H.B., McNaughton, N.J. *et al*. 2004. Geological and SHRIMP II U-Pb constraints on the age and origin of the Breves Cu-Au-(W-Bi-Sn) deposit, Carajás, Brazil. *Mineralium Deposita* **39**, 68–86.

Tassinari, C.G.C. and Macambira, M.J.B. 2004. A Evolução Tectônica do Cráton Amazônico. *In*: SBG (ed). Geologia do Continente Sul-Americano – Evolução da Obra de Fernando Flávio de Almeida. São Paulo: Ed. Beca. Capítulo XXVIII, pág. 471-486.

Tavares, F.M., Trouw, R.A.J., Silva, C.M.G., Justo, A.P. and Oliveira, J.K.M. 2018. The multistage tectonic evolution of the northeastern Carajás Province, Amazonian Craton, Brazil: Revealing complex structural patterns. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* **88**, 238–252. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsames.2018.08.024

Teixeira, N.P., Bettencourt, J.S., Moura, C.A.V., Dall'Agnol, R. and Macambira, E.M.B 2002. Archean crustal sources for paleoproterozoic tin-mineralized

granites in the Carajás Province, SSE Pará, Brazil: Pb-Pb Geochronology and Nd Isotope Geochemistry. *Precambrian Research* **119**, 257-275.
Teixeira, W., Geraldes, M.C., Matos, R., Ruiz, A.S., Saes, G. and Vargas-Mattos, G. 2010. A review of the tectonic evolution of the Sunsás belt, SW Amazonian Craton. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* **29**:47–60
Teixeira, W., Hamilton, M. A., Lima, G.A., Matos, R. and Ernst, R. E. 2015. Precise ID-TIMS U-Pb baddeleyite ages (1110-1112 Ma) for the Rincón del Tigre-Huanchaca large igneous province (LIP) of the Amazonian Craton: Implications for the Rodinia supercontinent. *Precambrian Research* **265**, 273-285.
Teixeira, W., Reis, N.J, Bettencourt, J.S., Klein, E.F. and Oliveira, D. 2019. Intraplate Proterozoic magmatism in the Amazonian Craton reviewed: geochronology, crustal tectonics and global barcode matches. *In*: Rajesh et al. "Dyke Swarms of the World-A modern perspective". Springer Geology, 111-154. DOI: https: //doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-1666-1_4.
Teixeira, W., Cordani, U.G., Faleiros, F.M., Sato, K., Maurer, V.C., Ruiz, A.S. and Azevedo, E.J.P. 2020. The Rio Apa Terrane reviewed: U Pb zircon geochronology and provenance studies provide paleotectonic links with a growing Proterozoic Amazonia. *Earth-Science Reviews*, **202**, 103089-35.
Tedeschi M.T., Hagemann S.G., Kemp A.I.S., Kirkland C.L., Ireland T.R. 2020. Geochronological constrains on the timing of magmatism, deformation and mineralization at the Karouni orogenic gold deposit: Guyana, South America. *Precambrian Research* **337**, 1-25. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.precamres.2019.04.015
Tohver, E., van der Pluijm, B., Van der Voo, R., Rizzotto, G. and Scandolara, J.E. 2002. Paleogeography of the Amazon craton at 1.2 Ga: early Grenvillian collision with the Llano segment of Laurentia. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **199**, 185-200.
Tohver, E., Bettencourt, J.S., Tosdal, R., Mezger, K., Leite, W.B. and Payolla, B.L. 2004. Terrane transfer during the Grenville orogeny: tracing the Amazonian ancestry of southern Appalachian basement through Pb and Nd isotopes. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **228**, 161-176.
Tokashiki, C.C., Juliani, C., Monteiro, L.V.S., Echeverri-Misas, C.M., Aguja, A.A., Arrais, L.B., 2013. Eventos vulcânicos de 1,97Ga com mineralizações de ouro epitermal Low- e intermediate sulfidation, na porção sul da província aurífera do Tapajós (PA), presented at Simpósio de Geologia da Amazônia, 13, Belém, 625-628.
Trendall, A.F., Basei, M.A.S., De Laeter, J.R. and Nelson, D.R. 1998. SHRIMP zircon U-Pb constraints on the age of the Carajás Formation, Grão Pará Group, Amazon Craton. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* **11**, 265–277.
Trunfull, E.F., Hagemanna, S.G., Xavier, R.P. and Moreto, C.P.N. 2020. Critical assessment of geochronological data from the Carajás Mineral Province, Brazil: Implications for metallogeny and tectonic evolution. *Ore Geology Reviews* **121**, 103556.
Valdespino, O.E.M. and Costanzo-Alvarez, V. 1997. Paleomagnetic and rock magnetic evidence for inverse zoning in the Parguaza batholith (southwestern Venezuela) and its implications about tectonics of the Guyana shield. *Precambrian Research* **85**, 1-25.
Valério, C.S., Souza, V.S., Macambira, M.J.B. 2009. The 1.90–1.88 Ga magmatism in the southernmost Guyana Shield, Amazonas, Brazil: Geology, geochemistry,

zircon geochronology, and tectonic implications. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences*, 28: 304-320.
Vasquez, M.L., Rosa-Costa, L.T., Silva, C.M.G. and Klein, E.L. 2008. Compartimentação tectônica. *In*: Vasquez, M.L., Rosa-Costa, L.T. (orgs). Geologia e Recursos Minerais do Estado do Pará: texto explicativo do mapa geológico e de recursos minerais do estado do Pará, Escala 1:1.000.000. Belém, CPRM. 39-112. DOI: http://rigeo.cprm.gov.br/jspui/handle/doc/10443
Vasquez, M.L. and Dreher, A.M. 2011. Uma avaliação da estratigrafia dos eventos magmáticos de 1900-1860 Ma do Cráton Amazônico, presented at Simpósio de Geologia da Amazônia, 12, Boa Vista, 4 p.
Vasquez, M. L., Cordani, U.G., Sato, K., Barbosa, J.P.O., Faraco, M.T.L. and Maurer, V.C. 2019. U-Pb SHRIMP dating of basement rocks of the Iriri-Xingu domain, Central Amazonian province, Amazonian craton, Brazil. *Brazilian Journal of Geology* **49**(3), 15 p. DOI:10.1590/2317-4889201920190067
Veloso, A.S.R. and Santos, M.D. 2013. Geologia, petrografia e geocronologia das rochas do depósito aurífero Ouro Roxo, Província Tapajós, Jacareacanga (PA), Brasil. *Brazilian Journal of Geology* **43**(1), 22-36.
Vigneresse, J.L. 2005. The specific case of the Mid-Proterozoic rapakivi granites and associated suite within the context of the Columbia Supercontinent. *Precambrian Research* **137**, 1-34.
Villas, R.N.N., Santiago, E.S.B., Castilho, M.P. 2013. Contexto geológico, estudos isotópicos (C, O e Pb) e associação metálica do depósito aurífero Tocantinzinho, domínio Tapajós, Província Tapajós-Parima. Geol. USP, *Série Científica*, São Paulo, 13(1), 11-138. DOI: 10.5327/Z1519-874X2013000100008
Voicu, G., Bardoux, M. and Stevenson, R. 2001. Lithostratigraphy, geochronology and gold metallogeny in the northern Guiana Shield South America: a review. *Ore Geology Review* **18**, 211-236.
Wang, C., Mitchell, R.N., Murphy, J.B., Peng, P. and Spencer, C.J. 2020. The role of mega-continents in the supercontinent cycle. *Geology* **49**. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1130/G47988.1
Whitney, D.L. and Evans, B.W. 2010. Abbreviations for Names of Rock-Forming Minerals. *American Mineralogist* **95**, 185–187.
Zhang, S-H., Ernst, R.E., Pei, J-L., Zhao, Y., Zhou, M-F., Hu, G-H. 2018. A temporal and causal link between ca. 1380 Ma large igneous provinces and black shales: Implications for the Mesoproterozoic time-scale and paleoenvironment. *Geology*, 46, 963–966.
Zhang, S-H., Ernst, R.E., Pei, J-L., Zhao, Y., Hu, G-H. 2021. Large Igneous Provinces (LIPs) and Anoxia Events in “The Boring Billion”. Richard E. Ernst, Alexander J. Dickson, and Andrey Bekker (eds). Large Igneous Provinces: A Driver of Global Environmental and Biotic Changes, Geophysical Monograph 255, First Edition. DOI: 10.1002/9781119507444.ch20

Declaration of interests
The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Figure Captions

Figure 1 – Simplified Geological Map of the Amazonian Craton (modified from Fraga *et al*. 2017c; Reis et al. 2021).

Figure 2 – An overview of the Orocaima SLIP across Suriname and Guyana, and the limit between Orosirian (left) and Rhyacian (right) terranes (blue dashed line). Adapted from Nadeau and Heesterman (2010) and Kroonenberg et al. (2016)

Figure 3 – Simplified geological map of the Tapajós Mineral Province, Central Brasil Shield. Main deposits: 1 – Chapéu do Sol; 2 – Botica; 3 – Batalha; 4 – Tocantinzinho; 5 – Palito; 6 – Cantagalo-Ouro Roxo mining camp (includes São José, Ouro Roxo and Porto Rico deposits, see Table 2); 7 – Cuiu-Cuiú mining camp (includes Guarim, Moreira Gomes, Central, Jerimum de Cima, Jerimum de Baixo, Pau da Merenda and Babi deposits, see Table 2); 8 - Creporizinho mining camp.

Figure 4 – Simplified geological map of the Carajás Mineral Province with location of some deposits and mines. Modified of Trunfull *et al*. (2020). See Table 2 for details.

Figure 5 – Simplified geological map of the Alta Floresta SLIP (after Ribeiro and Duarte 2010)

Figure 6 – Simplified geological map of the Alta Floresta Mineral Province (after Ribeiro and Duarte, 2010). Deposits: 1 – Juruena; 2 – Papagaio; 3 - Pé de Anta; 4 – Cajueiro; 5 – Luizão; 6 - Guarantã Ridge Target; 7 – Serrinha de Guarantã; 8 – Aragão; 9 – Paraíba; 10 – Pé Quente; 11 – Trairão; 12 – X1; 13 – Francisco; 14 – Pé de Fora; 15 – Edu. See Table 1 for details. Legend as Figure 5.

Figure 7 – Main mineralized plutonic bodies of the Pitinga Province (Adapted from Borges *et al*. 2003)

Figure 8 - Style of tin mineralization found in the Santa Bárbara massif (after Sparrenberger 2003)

Figure 9 - Geologic map of the Aguapeí Belt, showing the location of the gold deposits (Fernandes *et al*. 2006)

Figure 10 – Chronostratigraphic boundaries of Proterozoic LIP and SLIP scale events and associated basins in the Amazonian Craton

Figure 11 – (a) Paleogeography at 1.79-1.78 Ga showing the link of Laurentia, Baltica, Amazonia and West Africa according to the SAMBA model of Johansson (2009). Selected 1.79-1.75 Ga poles for Laurentia, Baltica and Amazonia (Table 5) are also shown. Amazonia in its present position. Euler rotation poles used for Laurentia, Baltica and West Africa and respective poles are described in Table 5. The main geotectonic/geochronologic provinces of Baltica, Amazonia and West Africa are also shown (according to Bogdanova *et al*. 2008; Johansson 2009 and Macambira *et al*. 2020): Baltica: V-U - Vulgo-Uralia; SA - Sarmatia; FEN - Fennoscandia; KoC - Kola Craton; KC - Karelia Craton; LK - Lapland-Kola Belt; CRB - Central Russian Belt; SF - Svecofennian Orogeny; SV - Sveconorwegian Orogeny.

Amazonian Craton (after Cordani and Teixeira 2007): CA - Central Amazonia Province; I - Imataca Block; A – Rio Apa Block; MI - Maroni-Itacaiúnas Province; VT - Ventuari-Tapajós Province; RN - Rio Negro-Juruena Province; RS - Rondonian-San Ignacio Province; S – Sunsás-Aguapeí Province. West Africa: RtS - Reguitibat Shield; KD - Kenema Man Domain; LS - Leo Shield; SLB - São Luís Block. The dashed lines establish the approximate limits between coeval provinces in Baltica and Amazonia. (b) Reconstruction proposed by Pehrsson *et al*. (2016) at 1.44 Ga. for Laurentia, Baltica, Amazonia and West Africa. Respective paleomagnetic poles in the 1.46-1.40 Ga time interval are also shown. Paleomagnetic poles and Euler rotation poles used are described in Table 5. Paleomagnetic poles are represented in the same color of the respective continental blocks. Circles represent the 95% confidence cones (A_{95}).

Figure 12 - (a) Comparison of the Amazonia (in Yellow) and Laurentian (in blue) paleomagnetic poles with the apparent polar wander path between 1.79 Ga and 1.40 Ga traced for Baltica (poles in red) (Salminen *et al*. 2017), according to the reconstruction proposed by Bispo-Santos *et al*. (2020) for Laurentia, Baltica, Amazonia. (b) The same as in (a), but considering the SAMBA model reconstruction shown in Figure 11a. Paleomagnetic poles and Euler rotation poles for both APWPs are described in Table 5. Circles represent the 95% confidence cones (A_{95})

Table Captions

Table 1 – *Important mineral resources associated directly or indirectly with the Orocaima SLIP of the Amazonian Craton*

Table 2 – *Important mineral resources associated directly or indirectly with the Uatumã SLIP of the Amazonian Craton*

Table 3 - *Important mineral resources associated directly or indirectly with the Alta Floresta SLIP of the Amazonian Craton*

Table 4 - *Important mineral resources associated directly or indirectly with the Rincón del Tigre-Huanchaca LIP of the Amazonian Craton*

Table 5 - *Selected paleomagnetic poles for Baltica, Laurentia and Amazonia between 1.79 and 1.40 Ga.*

SLIP	LOCAL NAME	POLYMETALLIC ASSOC.	HOST UNIT/AGE	MINERALIZATION AGE	REFERENCE
OROCAIMA	Aricheng (GU)	albitite-hosted uranium (+ zircon)	Kurupung Batholith [(ZrnTtn) 2.07-2.10 Ga]	(ZrnTtn) 1995 Ma	Alexandre (2010)
	Mountain Eagle (GU)	Au+Mo porphyry or orogenic	Iwokrama Granite [(ZrnLA)1980 Ma]	(ZrnLA) 1980 Ma	Nadeau et al. (2013)
	Morro do Bezerro (RR)	Mo-bearing Granite	Saracura Suite [(ZrnS) ≈1970 Ma]	(ZrnS) ≈1970 Ma	CPRM (1999); Fraga et al. (2017b)
	Tocantinzinho (PA)	Au-PO	Tocantinzinho Granite [(ZrnTtn, ZrnS) 1989-1982 Ma]	(ZrnTtn; ZrnS) 1989-1982 Ma; (AmpAA) 1967	Borgo et al. (2017)
	Coringa (PA)	Au+Ag- (base metal) low-sulphidation EPI	Vila Riozinho Group (2002 -1966 Ma) (6)	≈1989 Ma	Lamarão et al. (2002)
			Serra Granite (1999-1989 Ma) (7)		Guimarães and Klein (2020)

Abbreviatures: **Method**: S - U-Pb SHRIMP; LA - U-Pb Laser Ablation; AA - Ar-Ar; RO - Re-Os model age; PE - Single zircon Pb-evaporation; Pb-Pb step-leachin; E - estimated; **Mineral** (according Whitney and Evans 2010): Alu - alunite; Amp - amphibole; Bdy - baddeleyite; Bt - biotite; Mnz - monazite; Mol - molybdenite; Ms - muscovite; Py - pyrite; Ttn - titanite; Xtm - xenotime; Zrn - zircon; *secondary mineralization/mobilized ore; **Deposits**: VTM - Vanadiferous-titanomagnetite-bearing; TM - Titanomagnetite-bearing; VMS - Volcanic-exhalative Massive Sulfide; IOCG - Iron Oxide-Copper-Gold; EPI - Epithermal; PO - Porphyry; YGR - Younger Granites of Rondônia. **Country/State**: GU - Guyana; FG - French Guiana; BO - Bolivia; PA - Pará; AM - Amazonas; RR - Roraima; MT - Mato Grosso; RO - Rondônia.

Table 1

SLIP	LOCAL NAME	POLYMETALLIC ASSOC.	HOST UNIT/AGE	MINERALIZATION AGE	REFERENCE
UATUMÃ	(RR)	VTM anorthosite and gabbro	Uraricaá Suite [(ZrnS) 1882 Ma] (8)	(ZrnS) 1882 Ma (8)	Reis and Ramos (2017)
	(PA)	TM anortosite and gabbro	Jutaí Anorthosite [(TtnS) 1878 Ma] (9)	(TtnS) 1878 Ma	Santos et al (2001)
	Sossego-Curral (PA)	IOCG	Granophyric granite [(ZrnLA) 2740 Ma]	(MnzLA)1879-1904 Ma	Moreto et al (2015)
	Alvo 118 (PA)	IOCG	Grão Pará Group [(ZrnS) >2680 Ma]	(XtmS) 1868-1869 Ma ; (BtAA) 1885 Ma	Pollard et al (2018);Trendall et al (1998);Tallarico (2003)
			Metavolcanic [(ZrnS) 2645 Ma]		Tallarico et al (2004)
			Tonalite [(ZrnS) 2743 Ma]		Tallarico et al (2004)
					Tallarico et al (2004)
	Breves (PA)	Cu+ Au- (Mo-W-Bi-Sn)	A-type granitoid [(ZrnS) 1878-1880 Ma]	(Xtm+MnzS) 1872 Ma; (BtAA) 1885 Ma	Pollard et al (2018);Tallarico et al (2004)
			Metasedimentary hydrothermalized rock/Águas Claras Formation [(ZrnT) 2778 - 3048 Ma]		Macambira et al. (2001)
	Estrela (PA)	Cu+Au- (Li-Be-Sn-W-Mo)	A-type granitoid [(ZrnT) 1880-1875 Ma]	(BtAA) 1896 Ma	Pollard et al (2018);Lindenmayer et al. (2005)
			Grão Pará Group [(ZrnS) >2680 Ma]		Trendall et al. (1998)
	Gameleira (PA)	Cu+Au- (Co -F-U-Mo-REE)	Pojuca Granite [(ZrnT) 1874 Ma]	(BtAA) 1907 Ma	Machado et al. (1991);Pinheiro (2019)
			Metavolcanosedimentary [(ZrnT)≈ 2760 Ma]		Machado et al. (1991)
	Águas Claras (PA)	Cu+Au+W	Águas Claras Formation [(ZrnT) 2778 - 3020 Ma]	(E)1880 Ma	Grainger et al. (2008)
			Águas Claras Formation [(ZrnT) 2871 - 3048 Ma]		Macambira et al. (2001)
	Pojuca (PA)	VMS Cu+Zn	Igarapé Pojuca Group (>2700 Ma)	(E) 1874* Ma	Machado et al. (1991) ; Schwarz and Frantz (2013)
	Tarzan (PA)	Cu+Co	Igarapé Cigarra Formation ((E) ≈ 2750 Ma)	(ZrnT) 1880 Ma	Machado et al. (1991); Pinheiro (2019)
	Serra Pelada (PA)	Au+Pd+Pt	Águas Claras Formation [(ZrnT) 2778 - 3048 Ma]	(BtAA) 1882 Ma	Macambira et al. (2001);Grainger et al. (2008)
	Antonio Vicente (PA)	Sn+W+Nb+Ta and related greisens	Velho Guilherme Suite [(ZrnPE)1867 Ma]	≈1867 Ma	Teixeira et al (2002)
	Mocambo (PA)	Sn+W+Nb+Ta and related greisens	Velho Guilherme Suite [(ZrnPE)1862 Ma]	≈1862 Ma	Teixeira et al (2002)
	Pedra Preta (PA)	Sn+W+Nb+Ta and related greisens	Velho Guilherme Suite [(ZrnPE) ≈1870 Ma]	≈1870 Ma	Teixeira et al (2002)
	Maloquinha (PA)	Sn, W, Bi, Nb, Ta, Be and Li	Maloquinha Suite [(ZrnPE) 1880 Ma]	≈1880 Ma	Lamarão et al 2002 (6)
	Batalha (PA)	Deeper PO gold	Parauari Suite [(ZrnT) 1879- 1883 Ma]	≈1879 Ma	Santos et al. (2000)
	Palito (PA)	PO Au+Cu	Parauari Suite [(ZrnPE) 1883 Ma]	≈1883 Ma	Echeverry-Misas (2015)
	Chapéu do Sol (PA)	Cu-Mo- (Au) low-sulfidation	Subvolcanic porphyry [(ZrnS) 1880-1861 Ma]	≈1861 Ma	Aguja-Bocanegra (2013)
	Botica Velho (PA)	Au-Cu-Ag- (Cu-Zn-Mo) high sulfidation	Volcanosedimentary (1877-1888 Ma)	(AluAA) 1869 - 1876 Ma	Santos et al. (2001); Lamarão et al. (2002)
	Ouro Roxo (PA)	Au-Cu-Bi mesothermal	Tropas Suite [(Zrn+tT) 1983-1987 Ma; 1900-1880 Ma]	(PyPP isochron age) 1858 Ma	Santos et al. (2004);Veloso and Santos (2013); Klein and Carvalho (2008)
	Porto Rico (PA)	Au-Cu-Bi mesothermal	Tropas Suite [(Zrn+tT) 1983-1987 Ma; 1900-1880 Ma]	(E) 1858 Ma	Klein and Carvalho (2008)

	São José (PA)	Au-Cu-Bi mesothermal	Tropas Suite [(Zrn+tT) 1983-1987 Ma; 1900-1880 Ma]	(E) 1858 Ma	Klein and Carvalho (2008)
	Moreira Gomes (PA)	Orogenic gold	CreporiZrnão Suite [(ZrnPE) 1997 Ma]	(PyPP model age) 1816-1858 Ma	Silva Jr. et al. (2015)
	Central (PA)	Orogenic gold	Parauari Suite [(ZrnPE) 1885 Ma]	(PyPP model age) 1908-1888 Ma	Silva Jr. et al. (2015)
	Guarim (PA)	Orogenic gold	Cuiu-Cuiú Complex (2033-2005 Ma)	> 1871 Ma (E)	Santos et al. (2004)
	Pau da Merenda (PA)	Orogenic gold	Cuiu-Cuiú Complex (2033-2005 Ma)	(PyPP model age) 1885-1871 Ma	Santos et al. (2004);Silva Jr. et al. (2015)
	Jerimum de Cima (PA)	Orogenic gold	Cuiu-Cuiú Complex (2033-2005 Ma)	> 1871 Ma (E)	Santos et al. (2004)
	Jerimum de Baixo (PA)	Orogenic gold	CreporiZrnão Suite [(ZrnPE) 1997 Ma]	> 1858 Ma (E)	Silva Jr. et al. (2015)
	Babi (PA)	Orogenic gold	Cuiu-Cuiú Complex (2033-2005 Ma)	> 1871 Ma (E)	Santos et al. (2004)

Abbreviatures: **Method**: S - U-Pb SHRIMP; LA - U-Pb Laser Ablation; AA - Ar-Ar; RO - Re-Os model age; PE - Single zircon Pb-evaporation; Pb-Pb step-leachin; E - estimated; **Mineral** (according Whitney and Evans 2010): Alu - alunite; Amp - amphibole; Bdy - baddeleyite; Bt - biotite; Mnz - monazite; Mol - molybdenite; Ms - muscovite; Py - pyrite; Ttn - titanite; Xtm - xenotime; Zrn - zircon; *secondary mineralization/mobilized ore; **Deposits**: VTM - Vanadiferous-titanomagnetite-bearing; TM - Titanomagnetite-bearing; VMS - Volcanic-exhalative Massive Sulfide; IOCG - Iron Oxide-Copper-Gold; EPI - Epithermal; PO - Porphyry; YGR - Younger Granites of Rondônia. **Country/State**: GU - Guyana; FG - French Guiana; BO - Bolivia; PA - Pará; AM - Amazonas; RR - Roraima; MT - Mato Grosso; RO - Rondônia.

Table 2

SLIP	LOCAL NAME	POLYMETALLIC ASSOC.	HOST UNIT/AGE	MINERALIZATION AGE	REFERENCE
ALTA FLORESTA	Água Boa (AM)	Sn-greisen/Sn-episyenite (topaz-Be-F-Zr-Zn-Ti-Pb-Cu)	Madeira Suite [(ZrnLA) 1824-1816 Ma; (ZrnS) 1815 Ma; (ZrnLA) 1798 Ma]	(MsAA) 1783 Ma	Bastos Neto et al. (2014)
	Madeira (AM)	Ta+Nb+U+Th+ETR+Sn cryolite-bearing albite-enriched granite	Madeira Suite [(ZrnPE)1824 Ma - 1818 Ma; (ZrnS) 1810 Ma; (ZrnS) 1794 Ma; (ZrnLA)1822 Ma]	(MsAA) 1782 Ma	Costi et al. (2000); Bastos Neto et al. (2014)
	(PA)	Nb-Ta-Sn-bearing granite	Porquinho Suite [(ZrnS) 1786 Ma]	≈1786 Ma	Santos et al. (2004)
	Trairão (MT)	PO or intrusion related gold	Matupá Suite [(ZrnLA) 1889 Ma ; (ZrnS) 1854 -1878 Ma]	(MnzS) 1798 Ma ; (MolAA)1785 Ma	Rocha et al. (2020); Silva and Abram (2008)
	Chumbo Grosso (MT)	PO or intrusion related gold	Matupá Suite [(ZrnS)1878-1854 Ma]	(MnzS)1805 Ma	Rocha et al. (2020)
	Pé Quente (MT)	EPI or intrusion related gold	Pé Quente Tonalite [(ZrnS) 1901 Ma]	(PyRO) 1784-1792 Ma; (MolAA) 1830-1853 Ma	Assis et al. (2017); Assis (2015)
	Francisco (MT)	Au+(Zn-Pb-Cu) intermediate sulfidation	Porphyry União (1775 Ma)	(MsAA) 1777-1779 Ma	Assis (2015); Miguel Jr. (2011)
	Luizão (MT)	EPI or intrusion related gold	Novo Mundo Granite [(ZrnS) 1970-1956 Ma]	(PyRO) 1782-1805 Ma	Assis et al. (2017)
	Juruena (MT)	Porphyry gold	Paranaíta Suite [(ZrnS)≈1792-1790 Ma]	(MolRO) 1805 Ma	Serrato (2014)
	Serrinha de Guarantã (MT)	EPI or intrusion related gold	Metatonalite*, metaultramafic, intermediate to acid subvolcanic intrusion [*(ZrnLA) 1977 Ma]	maximum age:1977 Ma.	Rios (2019)
	Papagaio (MT)	EPI or intrusion related gold	Colider Group [(ZrnS) 1796-1780 Ma]	>1780 Ma (E)	Galé (2018)
	Paraíba (MT)	EPI or intrusion related gold	Matupá Suite/Flor da Serra Suite (1872 Ma-1879 Ma)	(MsAA) 1511 Ma	Silva and Abram (2008)
	Pé de Fora (MT)	Intrusion related gold	Paranaíta Suite [(ZrnS)≈1792-1790 Ma]	1803-1793 Ma	Silva and Abram (2008)
	Pé de Anta (MT)	EPI or intrusion related gold	Colider Group [(ZrnS) 1796-1780 Ma]	≈1790 Ma (E)	Silva and Abram (2008); Alves et al 2010; Duarte and Lopes (2015); Pinho et. al. (2003)
	Cajueiro (MT)	EPI or intrusion related gold	Teles Pires Suite [(ZrnT;ZrnLA) 1780 - 1793 Ma]	1780-1793 Ma (E)	Silva and Abram (2008); Alves et al (2019)
			Colider Group [(ZrnS) 1796-1780 Ma]		Silva and Abram (2008);Alves et al 2010;Duarte and Lopes (2015); Pinho et. al. (2003)
	Guarantã (MT)	Au+(Zn-Pb-Cu) intermediate sulfidation	Colider Group [(ZrnS) 1796-1780 Ma]	≈1790 Ma (E)	Silva and Abram (2008);Alves et al 2010;Duarte and Lopes (2015); Pinho et. al. (2003)
	Aragão (MT)	PO or intrusion related gold	Aragão Granite [(ZrnLA) 1931 Ma]		Miguel Jr. (2011)
	Edu (MT)	EPI or intrusion related gold	Nhandú Suite [(ZrnS) 1968 - 1963 Ma ; (ZrnLA) 1889-1879 Ma]		Dezula et al. (2018); Silva and Abram (2008)
	X1 (MT)	PO or intrusion related gold	Granitoid pluton; porphyry subvolcanic intrusion [(ZrnPE) 1872 Ma]	(MolRO) 1785-1787 ; (ZrnS) 1773 Ma ; (MsAA) 1751-1733 Ma	Assis et al. (2017); Assis (2015)

Abbreviatures: **Method**: S - U-Pb SHRIMP; LA - U-Pb Laser Ablation; AA - Ar-Ar; RO - Re-Os model age; PE - Single zircon Pb-evaporation; Pb-Pb step-leachin; E - estimated; **Mineral** (according Whitney and Evans 2010): Alu - alunite; Amp - amphibole; Bdy - baddeleyite; Bt - biotite; Mnz - monazite; Mol - molybdenite; Ms - muscovite; Py - pyrite; Ttn - titanite; Xtm - xenotime; Zrn - zircon; *secondary mineralization/mobilized ore; **Deposits**: VTM - Vanadiferous-titanomagnetite-bearing; TM - Titanomagnetite-bearing; VMS - Volcanic-exhalative Massive Sulfide; IOCG - Iron Oxide-Copper-Gold; EPI - Epithermal; PO - Porphyry; YGR - Younger Granites of Rondônia. **Country/State**: GU - Guyana; FG - French Guiana; BO - Bolivia; PA - Pará; AM - Amazonas; RR - Roraima; MT - Mato Grosso; RO - Rondônia.

Table 3

SLIP	LOCAL NAME	POLYMETALLIC ASSOC.	HOST UNIT/AGE	MINERALIZATION AGE	REFERENCE
RTH LIP	RTC (BO)	Magmatic Cu-(Au-PGE) in layered intrusions	Rincón del Tigre Complex [(Bdy) 1110 ± 2 Ma]	1.11 Ga	Litherland et al. (1986); Prendergast (2000)
	Santa Clara and YGR (RO)	Nb-Ta-Sn-W-REE-bearing granite	Santa Clara (1.08-1.07 Ga), Santa Bárbara [(Mnz) 993 ± 4.6 Ma] and Oriente Novo - YGR (1.08-1.07 Ga)	1.08-0.99 Ga	Sparrenberger (2003); Leite Jr. (2002); Bettencourt et al. (2016)
	Dom Mário (BO)	Skarn-type Cu-Au; shear zones; Cu-Bi-Au-Mo association; Au-Cu-Zn-Pb-Ag-Bi polymetallic association	Senoritas Granite (1014 ± 6 Ma; 1004 ± 1 Ma)	MolRO 994 ± 3 Ma	Isla-Moreno (2009)

Abbreviatures: **Method**: S - U-Pb SHRIMP; LA - U-Pb Laser Ablation; AA - Ar-Ar; RO - Re-Os model age; PE - Single zircon Pb-evaporation; Pb-Pb step-leachin; E - estimated; **Mineral** (according Whitney and Evans 2010): Alu - alunite; Amp - amphibole; Bdy - baddeleyite; Bt - biotite; Mnz - monazite; Mol - molybdenite; Ms - muscovite; Py - pyrite; Ttn - titanite; Xtm - xenotime; Zrn - zircon; *secondary mineralization/mobilized ore; **Deposits**: VTM - Vanadiferous-titanomagnetite-bearing; TM - Titanomagnetite-bearing; VMS - Volcanic-exhalative Massive Sulfide; IOCG - Iron Oxide-Copper-Gold; EPI - Epithermal; PO - Porphyry; YGR - Younger Granites of Rondônia. **Country/State**: GU - Guyana; FG - French Guiana; BO - Bolivia; PA - Pará; AM - Amazonas; RR - Roraima; MT - Mato Grosso; RO - Rondônia.

Table 4

LANDMASSES/Geological Unit	Code	Age (Ga)	Lat. (°N)	Long. (°E)	A95 (°)	Rlat. (°N)	Rlong. (°E)	Rlat. (°N)	Rlong. (°E)	Rlat. (°N)	Rlong. (°E)	Ref.
BALTICA – Rotation pole:						43.82°N, 195.29°E (-82.80°)*		48.97°N, 215.77°E (-80.63)**		47.5°N, 1.5°E (49°)***		
Ropruchey sill	B1	1.78-1.76	41	230	8	19	201	37	209			1
Schosksha Fm. #	B2	1.79-1.77	42	221	7	25	199	44	207			2
Hoting gabbro #	B3	1.80-1.77	43	233	12	18	204	36	213			3
Småland dykes #	B4	1.78-1.77	46	183	8	53	198	71	210			4
Mean – B1, B2. B3 and B4 poles	B5	1.78	44	216	12	29	200	48	208			
Turinge Gabbro-Diabase #	B6	1.70	52	220	5	29	209	47	220			5
Häme DB dyke #	B7	1.64	24	210	15	26	176	44	179			6
SE-Quartz porphire dyke	B8	1.69-1.62	30	175	9	57	170	73	154			7, 8
Sipoo porphyre	B9	1.63	26	181	9	52	166	67	154			9
Mean - Åland intr. #, Satakunta dykes # and Dala sandstones poles	B10	1.55	28	188	8	46	172	63	167			10
Mean - Tuna dyke, Salmi Fm., Lake Ladoka dykes #, Bunkris-Glysjön-Öje dyke poles	B11	1.46	17	181	14	48	153	60	140	-5	44	10
LAURENTIA – Rotation pole:						62.36°N, 258.10°E (-96.73°)*		52.79°N, 267.46°E (-99.97°)**		In its present position***		
Dubawnt Group	L1	1.79	7	277	8	22	198	32	209			12
Jeanlake granite	L2	1.76	24	264	17	43	200	51	220			13
Cleaver dyke	L3	1.74	19	277	6	32	206	39	222			14
Melville Bugt dyke	L4	1.63	5	274	9	22	193	33	205			15
Western Channel Dyke	L5	1.59	9	245	7	42	168	56	180			16, 17
Mean - St. Francois mountains, Tabacco Root dykes, Michikamau intr., Spokane Fm., Harp Lake Compl. poles	L6	1.46	-6	217	13	35	128	49	127	6	37	10
Mean - Mean rock mountain, Purcell lava, Laramie anorthosite, Electra Lake gabbro, Belt Supergroup.	L7	1.43	-17	215	8	24	126	38	127	17	35	10
McNamara Formation	L8	1.40	-14	208	7	27	118	40	118	14	28	10
AMAZONIA – in its present position						in its present position*		in its present position**		53.9°N, 291.1°E (122.2°)***		
Avanavero Sill	A1	1.79	48	208	10							18
Parguaza Granite (G1 component)	A2	1.52-1.59	54	174	10							19
Mucajaí Complex	A3	1.53-1.54	38	180	13							10
Salto do Céu sill	A4	1.44	56	99	8					1	42	20
Rio Branco Sed. Rock	A5	> 1.44	46	90	7					-3	53	20
Nova Guarita dyke	A6	1.42	48	66	7					-14	34	21
Indiavaí Intrusive	A7	1.42	57	70	9					-16	43	22
West Africa – Rotation pole:						52.20°N, 336.86°E (-67.03°)*		45.51°N, 327.86°E (-58.18°)**		58.3°N, 304.9°E (85.8°)***		

Plat. – Pole latitude; Plong. – Pole longitude; A95 – radious of 95% confidence cone; Rlat – rotated pole latitude; Rlong – rotated pole longitude; * Euler rotation pole used to construct Figs. 14a and 15b, ** Euler rotation pole used to construct Fig. 15a (according to Bispo-Santos et al. 2020), *** Euler rotation pole used to construct Fig. 14b (according to Pehrsson et al. 2016). # key paleomagnetic pole (Baltica). Reference: 1 - Fedotova et al. (1999); 2 - Pisarevsky and Sokolov (2001); 3 - Elming et al. (2009); 4 - Pisarevsky and Bylund (2010); 5 - Elming et al. 2019; 6 - Salminen et al. (2017); 7 - Neuvonen (1986); 8 - Salminen et al. (2016); 9 - Mertanen and Pesonen (1995); 10 - Bispo-Santos et al. (2020); 11 - Pisarebsky and Bylund (2010); 12 - Park et al. (1973); 13 - Gala et al. (1995);14 - Irving et al. (2004); 15 - Halls et al. (2011); 16 - Irving et al. (1972); 17 - Hamilton and Buchan (2010); 18 - Bispo-Santos et al. (2014a); 19 - Valdespino and Costanzo-Alvarez (1997); 20 - D'Agrella-Filho et al. (2016b); 21 - Bispo-Santos et al. (2012); 22 - D'Agrella-Filho et al. (2012).

Table 5


64°W
52°W
6°N
0°
10°S
18°S
Atlantic Ocean
VENEZUELA
Pakaraima Block
GUYANA
COLOMBIA
SURINAME
FRENCH GUIANA
Takutu Basin
RORAIMA
AMAPÁ
Negro R.
Amazonas R.
PARÁ
Solimões-Amazonas Basin
AMAZONAS
Alto Tapajós Horst
RONDÔNIA
Caiabis Basin
MATO GROSSO
Parecis Basin
TOCANTINS
BOLIVIA
MATO GROSSO DO SUL
PARAGUAY
200 km
60°W
Atlantic Ocean
Guiana Shield
ECUADOR
PERU
Central Brazil Shield
BRAZIL
Pacific Ocean
500 km
Main Features
Phanerozoic Cover
Cauarane-Coeroeni Belt (~2.00 Ga)
Orocaima SLIP (1.98-1.96 Ga)
Rio Urubu Igneous Belt (1.94-1.92 Ga)
Uatumã SLIP (1.88-1.87 Ga)
Alta Floresta SLIP (1.80-1.79 Ga)
Avanavero LIP (1.79-1.78 Ga)
Rincón del Tigre-Huanchaca LIP (1.11 Ga)
Brasiliano Belt (0.80-0.47 Ga)
Rio Negro-Orenoco Terrane (1.80-1.38 Ga)
Roraima Sedimentary Cover (1.87 Ga)
Orosirian Magmatic Arc (2.04-2.02 Ga)
UHT Metamorphic Belt (Bakhuis) (2.06 Ga)
Rhyacian sedimentary rocks
Granite-Greenstone belts (2.21-2.07 Ga)
Mesoarchean to Siderian (3.00-2.30 Ga)
Reworked by Transamazonian Orogeny at 2.26-2.05 Ga
Meso to Neoarchean (3.05-2.57 Ga)

Figure 1


60°W
58°W
56°W
54°W
7°N
5°N
3°N
1°N
N
VENEZUELA
ATLANTIC OCEAN
Georgetown
Paramaribo
FRENCH GUIANA
SURINAME
GUYANA
BRAZIL
BRAZIL
VENEZUELA
COLOMBIA
ECUADOR
PERU
BOLIVIA
PARAGUAY
PACIFIC OCEAN
ATLANTIC OCEAN
BRAZIL
500 km
Cenozoic cover
Takutu Rift-Basin
Apatoe (200 Ma)/Apoteri (150 Ma)
STENIAN
Muri Alkaline Complex (1.09 Ga)
CALIMIAN
Käyser Dolerite (1.53 Ga)
OROSIRIAN
Avanavero LIP (1.79-1.78 Ga)
Muruwa Formation
Uatumã SLIP (1.88-1.87 Ga)
Kuyuwini Granite
Kuyuwini Volcanic
Rio Urubu Igneous Belt (1.94-1.92 Ga)
Roraima Supergroup/Tafelberg
Charlie, Moi-Moi and Lucie Gabbro (1.98-1.97 Ga), Badidiku Suite?
Orocaima SLIP (1.98-1.96 Ga)
Wonotobo-Sipaliwini-Coppename Granite
Makarapan-Toka Granite
Dalbana/Iwokrama Formation (volcanic)
Cauarane-Coeroeni Belt (~2.02 Ga)
Kanuku Complex
Limit Orosirian (left)/Rhyacian (right) Terranes
Transamazonian Orogeny
RHYACIAN
High-grade Bakhuis Belt (2.06 Ga)
Gran Rio-Pikien Granite (2.09 Ga)/Bartica Complex
Younger Granite (2.08 Ga)
Bemau Ultramafite (2.15 Ga)
Sara's Lust Gneiss (2.15 Ga)
Barama-Mazaruni-Marowijne Belt (2.25-2.12 Ga)

Figure 2


57°W
54°W
6°S
9°S
Itaituba
Rurópolis
Iriri River
Xingu River
Tapajós River
N
AMAZONAS
Ouro Roxo
Jacareacanga
Cuiu-Cuiú
Jardim do Ouro
Creporizinho
Novo Progresso
Jamanxim River
PARÁ
MATO GROSSO
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
0
125 km
Meso-Cenozoic Cover
Paleozoic Cover
Sedimentary Cover (<1.03 Ga)
Post-Uatumã Cover (<1.86 Ga)
UATUMÃ SLIP (1.88-1.87 Ga)
A-type granitoids
Undiferentiated I- and A-type volcanics
I-type granitoids
OROCAIMA SLIP (1.98-1.96 Ga)
Undiferentiated I- and A-type volcanics
I-type granitoids
Basement (2.03-2.01 Ga)
Metasedimentary rocks
Orthogneisses
Mining camp
Fluorite veins
Cu sulfide veins
Nb-Ta-rich placers
Pb+(Cu) sulfide veins
Sn-rich placers or greisens
Au, Au-Cu or Au-metal base deposits
State limit

Figure 3



50°30' W
50°00' W
6°00' S
6°30' S
N
Cinzento Shear Zone
Furnas
Serra Pelada
Cigano
Breves
Pojuca
Gameleira
Carajás
Parauapebas
Curionópolis
Águas Claras
Central Carajás
Carajás Shear Zone
Estrela
Alvo-118
Sossego
Canaã dos Carajás
Araguaia Belt
0
20
Brazil
Carajás
Mine Deposit
Locality
Paleoproterozoic
Sedimentary Cover
1.88 Ga A-type granite
Neoarchean
Mafic-Ultramafic layered bodies (2.37 Ga)
Granites (2.76-2.73 Ga; 2.57-2.53 Ga)
Metavolcanic-sedimentary Cover (2.76-2.50 Ga)
Mesoarchean
Basal Complex (3.20-2.97 Ga)
Mafic Granulites (3.20-3.00 Ga)
Main structures

Figure 4


60°W
58°W
56°W
54°W
6°S
8°S
10°S
N
Apuí
PARÁ
AMAZONAS
Alto Tapajós Horst
Alta Floresta
MATO GROSSO
Peixoto de
Azevedo
Formosa Mt.
Caiabis Basin
Colíder
Rondonia
0 62,5 125
km
Meso-Cenozoic Cover
Paleozoic Cover
Neoproterozoic Cover (<1.03 Ga)
A-type granites (1.57-1.53 Ga)
Volcanic-sedimentary Cover (1.76-1.74 Ga)
A-type granites (1.77-1.75 Ga)
Vespor Suite (1.78 Ga)
Mainly gneisses, migmatites
Granitic plutons
(1.78-1.76 Ga)
Alta Floresta SLIP (1.80-1.79 Ga)
Paranaíta Suite
Colíder Group
(2.16-1.87 Ga)
State limit

Figure 5


58°00'W
56°00'
MATO GROSSO
PARÁ
N
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
Alta Floresta
Peixoto de Azevedo
Colíder
10°00'S
Juruena River
Teles Pires River
0
55
km
Alta Floresta Mineral Province
Au, Au-Cu and Au + base metal deposits

Figure 6


N
60°10'
59°50'
Atlantic Ocean
Venezuela
Guyana
Suriname
Colombia
Roraima
Amapá
Pitinga
Amazonas
Pará
0°35'S
Água Boa Pluton
Madeira
Pluton
0°45'S
Manaus
Vila do Pitinga
Processing plant
0
9.3 km
MADEIRA SUITE
Rapakivi granite facies
Biotite granite facies
Albite-rich granite fácies and hypersolvus granite facies
Porphyritic biotite granite facies
Porphyritic topaz granite facies
IRICOUMÉ GROUP
Acidic lavas and pyroclastic rocks
Faults
Fractures

Figure 7



TABOQUINHA GREISEN
SANTA BÁRBARA MASSIF
Santa Bárbara Hill
White albite-
microcline granite
Albite-
microcline
granite
(W,Sn)
Taboquinha greisen
Tp-mica-qtz greisen
(Sn,W)
PIT
2003
Albite-
microcline
granite
(m)
160
120
80
Greisen
Stockwork
(Sn,W)
UST + quartz pods
50 m
Greisenized
granite
(Sn,W)
Salmon albitized
granite
Pyterlite albite-
microcline granite

Figure 8



N
40 km
PONTES E LACERDA
Onça Deposit
Guaporé river
Torre Deposit
15°S
Lavrinha Deposits
Alto Jauru Terrane
Caldeirão Sinclinal
Bananal and Rio Alegre Deposits
Cágado Anticlinal
Alegre River
Pau a Pique Deposit
Rio Alegre Terrane
Aguapeí River
Ellus Deposit
16°
59°W
Shear zones
Lineations
Sinclinal
Anticlinal
Thrust fault
Transcurrent fault
Au-deposits and occurrences
Aguapeí Group
Granite-gneiss
Tonalite and granite
Volcanosedimentary succession

Figure 9


SLIP or LIP event as the base or within the Period
Major Volcanosedimentary and Sedimentary Cover
PROTEROZOIC
Neoproterozoic
Mesoproterozoic
Paleoproterozoic
541 Ma
Ediacaran
635 Ma
Cryogenian
720 Ma
Tonian
1000 Ma
Stenian
1200 Ma
Ectasian
1400 Ma
Calymmian
1600 Ma
Statherian
1800 Ma
Orosirian
2050 Ma
Pimenta Bueno Graben (635-541 Ma)
Guaniamo kimberlites (VE) (840-720 Ma)
Beneficente/Palmeiral/ Prosperança (1.03 Ga)
Seringa Formation (1.08 Ga)
Rincón del Tigre-Huanchaca (BO)/ Rio Perdido (BR) (1.11-1.10 Ga)
Cachoeira Seca Troctolite (1.20-1.18 Ga)
Mucajaí AMG Complex (1.52-1.51 Ga)
Indiavaí (BR) (1.41 Ga) Mata-Matá (BR)/Käyser (SU) (1.57-1.53 Ga)
Avanavero LIP* (1.79-1.78 Ga)
Alta Floresta SLIP (1.80-1.79 Ga)
(*) Avanavero/40 Ilhas/Crepori/ Vespor (BR)
Vila do Carmo/Roosevelt/ Dardanelos (BR) (1.76-1.74 Ga)
Uatumã SLIP (1.88-1.87 Ga)
Uraricaá/Taxista/ Ingarana (1.88-1.87 Ga)
Tucumã dyke (1.88 Ga)
Aracá/Urupi/Gorotire/ Cubencranquém (BR)
Pakaraima Block (VE, BR, GU)
Ichún Formation (VE)/ Muruwa (GU)
Parima (BR)
Orocaima SLIP (1.98-1.96 Ga)
Charlie/Moi-Moi/Lucie Gabbro (SU) (1.98-1.97 Ga)
BR - Brazil; VE - Venezuela; SU - Suriname; GU - Guyana; BO - Bolivia

Figure 10


(a)
0°
B4
L2
A1
Laurentia
B5
L3
L1
B2
B1
B3
Baltica
West
Africa
Amazon
Basin
Amazonia
Rio
Apa
(b)
LAURENTIA
BALTICA
WEST
AFRICA
AMAZONIA
L7
L8
A5
A4
B11
L6
A6
A7
0°

Figure 11



(a)
(b)
0°
A1
A2
A3
A4
A5
A6
A7
B5
B6
B7
B8
B9
B10
B11
L1
L2
L3
L4
L5
L6
L7
L8
1.42
1.43
1.44
1.46
1.55
1.64
1.78

Figure 12